ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY
9700 South Cass Avenue
Argonne, Illinois 60439

LAPACK Working Note # 5
Provisional Contents

**Chris Bischof, James Demmel, Jack Dongarra, Jeremy Du Croz,
Anne Greenbaum, Sven Hammarling, and Danny Sorensen**

Mathematics and Computer Science Division

ANL-88-38

March 16, 1992

Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant
8715728, the Applied Mathematical Sciences subprogram of the Office of E
the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38, and the
Center IBM.

ABSTRACT

This note outlines the provisional contents of LAPACK. It describes an
routines, enumerates the individual routines, and includes notes on t
and aspects of software design.

LAPACK Working Note #5 Provisional Contents

Chris Bischof, James Demmel, Jack Dongarra, Jeremy Du Croz,
Anne Greenbaum, Sven Hammarling, and Danny Sorensen

ABSTRACT

This note outlines the proposed computational routines in LAPACK. It d
scheme for the routines, enumerates the individual routines, include
algorithms and discusses aspects of software design. The contents of t
and may be modified in the light of comment and experience.

1 Overview

LAPACK is planned to be a collection of Fortran 77 subroutines for the an
of various systems of simultaneous linear algebraic equations, linear
and matrix eigenvalue problems.

The subroutines are intended to be transportable and efficient acros
computing environments, with special emphasis on modern high-perfor
For more about the background, motivation and design goals of LAPACK, s
tus [1].

Our plan is that LAPACK should include two broad categories of routi
distinction may in some cases be blurred):

computational routines, each performing a distinct algorithmic task, such as p
forming an LU factorization, reducing a matrix to Hessenberg form, or
of a bidiagonal matrix.

driver routines, each of which solves a complete problem, using a series of
computational routines and possibly some additional code, for exampl
of linear equations with one or many right hand sides, or computing a
optionally eigenvectors of a symmetric matrix.

Driver routines are provided in EISPACK (RG, RGG, RS and so on); th
such routines in LINPACK has often been criticized.

This working note dcusses the computational routines only. At this stage
of the project we feel that it is more important to design and develop
routines.

Section 2 of this working note describes the naming scheme for the r
lists the proposed routines and contains notes on the structure of th

of algorithms. Section 4 discusses aspects of software design. Speci
routines are presented in Appendix A. Appendix B shows how the functi
and EISPACK routines would be covered (with a few exceptions) by LAPAC

The contents of this working note are **provisional** and are likely to be modifi
extent in the light of comment and experience. We are publishing our pl
order to give people an early opportunity to offer suggestions, critic
software. Some questions on which we would particularly welcome feed
the end of Sections 3 and 4.

2 Naming Scheme

A subroutine naming scheme has been designed, similar in style to that
[2] and later for the Level 2 [3] and Level 3 BLAS [4]. The following principles influen
the design:

- the names should be as mnemonic and systematic as possible within
  constraints of standard Fortran 77 6-character names.
- the names should indicate the function of the routines rather than
  (except in a few cases where we plan to provide more than one algori
  task).
- there should be no clashes with names already used in EISPACK, LIN
  BLAS.

We have tried to make the computational routines as modular as possi
in either LINPACK or EISPACK. The reasons for this are:

- when the areas covered by LINPACK and EISPACK are combined, there i
  ably greater scope for sharing common features.
- the routines in LAPACK, based on block algorithms, are likely to i
  plex code than LINPACK or EISPACK, and hence there are stronger re
  duplicate it.

Each subroutine name is a coded specification of the computation don
tine. All names consist of six letters in the form TXXYYY. The first le
the matrix data type as follows:

S	REAL
D	DOUBLE PRECISION
C	COMPLEX
Z	COMPLEX*16 or DOUBLE COMPLEX (if available)

Note that the last is not standard Fortran but is available in many F
machines where double precision computation is usual.

The next two letters, XX, indicate the type of matrix (in some cases
most significant matrix). Most of these two-letter codes apply to bot
routines; a few apply specifically to one or the other, and this is indi

BD bidiagonal

DB generalized banded symmetric or Hermitian positive definite

GB general band

GE general (i.e. unsymmetric, in some cases rectangular)

GG general matrices, generalized problem

GT general tridiagonal

HB (complex) Hermitian band

HE (complex) Hermitian

HG Hessenberg matrix, generalized problem

HP (complex) Hermitian, packed storage

HS Hessenberg

MT as PT but for multiple systems of equations

OR (real) orthogonal

PB symmetric or Hermitian positive definite band

PO symmetric or Hermitian positive definite

PP symmetric or Hermitian positive definite, packed storage

PT symmetric or Hermitian positive definite tridiagonal

SB (real) symmetric band

SP (real) symmetric, packed storage

ST (real) symmetric tridiagonal

SY (real) symmetric

TB triangular band

TG triangular matrices, generalized problem

TP triangular, packed storage

TR triangular (or in some cases quasi-triangular)

TZ trapezoidal

UN (complex) unitary

The precise meaning of some of these codes may become clearer in the
of proposed routines in Section 3.

The final letters YYY indicate the computation done by a particular s
Section 3 may make the meaning of some of the codes more clear.

BAK back-transformation of eigenvectors after balancing

BAL balance a matrix or matrices (for eigenvalue computation)

BRD reduction to bidiagonal form

CON estimate condition number

EBM selected eigenvalues, by bisection/multisection

EDC all eigenvalues and eigenvectors, using a divide and conquer

EIN selected eigenvectors (assuming eigenvalues are known), by i

EQR all eigenvalues and, optionally, Schur factorization or eige
using QR algorithm

EQU equilibrate matrix (for solving linear equations)

EVC eigenvectors fromSchur factorization

EVU rank-1 update of eigenvalue decomposition

EXC exchange eigenvalues (in Schur factorization)

GEN generate a real orthogonal or complex unitary matrix (as a pro
Householder matrices)

GST reduce symmetric-definite generalized eigenvalue
problemto standard form

HRD reduction to upper Hessenberg form

MUL multiply a matrix by real orthogonal or complex unitary matri
a product of Householder matrices)

QRF QR-factorization without pivoting

QRP QR-factorization with pivoting

QRS solution of linear least squares problems, following QR factc

QRU update QR-factorization

RFS refine initial approximate solution returned by TRS routines, with optional error bound

RQF RQ-factorization

SBM compute selected singular values, by bisection/multisection

SDC all singular values and vectors, using a divide-and-conquer a

SEN condition number (sensitivity) of an invariant subspace

SIN selected singular vectors (assuming singular values are know by inverse iteration

SJA computes singular values and optionally singular values usin (needed by GSVD)

SNA condition numbers (sensitivities) of all eigenvalue-eigenve

SOL solution of linear equations

SQR compute singular values and, optionally, singular vectors, using QR algorithm

SVU rank-1 update of singular value decomposition

SYL solve Sylvester's equation

TRD reduction to symmetric tridiagonal form

TRF triangular factorization (LU, Cholesky, etc)

TRI compute inverse (based on triangular factorization)

TRS solution of linear equations (based on triangular factorizat

TRU update or downdate triangular factorization

TRX exchange rows and columns in triangular factorization

The following tables indicate which combinations of the codes XX an
aged. The first table covers routines which are primarily associated
systems of linear equations and are listed in Section 3.1. The second
which are primarily associated with eigenvalue problems and are liste
do not list the complex counterparts of SY and SP.)

	GE	GB	GT	PO	PP	PB	PT	MT	SY	SP	TR	TP	TB	TZ	OR	GG
TRF	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x						
TRS	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x			
RFS	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x			
TRI	x			x	x				x	x	x	x				
CON	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x			
SOL			x				x	x								
TRU				x	x				x	x						
TRX				x	x											
EQU	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x						
QRP	x															x
QRF	x															
RQF	x													x		
QRS	x															
QRU	x															
GEN															x	
MUL															x	

	GE	GB	GG	HS	HG	TR	TG	SY	SP	SB	ST	BD	DB
HRD	x		x										
TRD								x	x	x			
BRD	x	x				x							
EQR				x	x						x		
EDC											x		
EIN				x	x						x		
EVC						x	x						
EBM										x	x		x
EVU											x		
SQR												x	
SDC												x	
SIN												x	
SBM												x	
SVU												x	
SJA							x						
SEN						x	x						
SNA						x	x						
SYL						x	x						
EXC						x	x						
BAL	x		x										
BAK	x		x										
GST								x	x	x			

3 Organization of Routines and Choice of Algorithms

In this section we describe the functions of the routines, and the inte
them; and give notes on the choice of algorithms. For simplicity we de
for **real, single precision matrices only** (routine names beginning with S).

For convenience we divide the routines into two sections

- routines associated with the solution of systems of linear equat
  some routines for solving linear least problems. These are cent
  standard non-iterative factorizations (LU, Cholesky, QR), and co
  LINPACK.

- routines associated with the solution of eigenvalue problems (i
  problems and singular value problems). These are centered on an i
  for computing eigenvalues, and correspond roughly to EISPACK.

The division is not clear cut: some routines in the first section also
eigenvalue problems; and linear least squares problems may be solved
the first section (using QR factorization) or by routines in the second

3.1 Routines for Solving Linear Equations

This section of LAPACK is concerned with the solution of systems of
$AX = B$. Similar groups of routines will be provided for different type
and these are described in the following subsections. The overall stru
of LINPACK.

This section also contains routines based on the QR factorization fo
squares problems.

The following remarks apply to all groups of routines in this chapte

- The routines for solving linear equations (TRS routines) will all
  hand sides (with the possible exception of routines for tridiagon

- For further discussion of the routines for iterative refinement and
  (RFS and CON routines), see Chapter 3 of Working Note #4.

- The routines for condition estimation will all use Higham's versio
  [5]. The CON routines mentioned here will all call Higham's algori
  estimate the norm $\|A^{-1}\|_1$ of A

3.1.1 General matrices

SGETRF	LU-factorization with row interchanges
SGETRS	solve linear equations, after factorization by SGETRF
SGETRI	compute inverse, after factorization by SGETRF
SGERFS	refine solution computed by SGETRS, with optional error bound
SGECON	estimate condition number, after factorization by SGETRF
SGEEQU	equilibrate matrix

Notes:

- Block algorithms for SGETRF, SGETRS and SGETRI are straightfor war
- For SGETRF more than one variant of the block algorithm can be der tending to block algorithms the analysis of Dongar]r.a, TheGustavson a performance of the different variants will be investigated.
- Prototype code for one possible variant of SGETRF is presented in
- SGETRF will factorize a rectangular matrix (so that the factor L may This additional flexibility is occasionally useful; also a blocked requires an unblocked version of the algorithm to factorize a rect The other routines in this group work only with square matrices.
- SGETRS will solve $AX = {}^{T}BX$ or BA
- For SGETRI two methods are possible: either to compute L^{-1} and U^{-1} by using STRTRI and then to form their product $L^{-1}U^{-1}$, or to compute U^{-1} by a call to STRTRI and then to solve for X the equation $XL = U^{-1}$. The latter method is used in the LINPACK routine SGEDI and is likely to be faster, but requires one block of columns.
- For SGEEQU we envisage options for row scaling, column scaling or r scaling. For the last option we are investigating the scaling alg Reid][and also cheaper alternatives.

3.1.2 General band matrices

SGBTRF	LU-factorization with row interchanges
SGBTRS	solve linear equations, after factorization by SGBTRF
SGBRFS	refine solution computed by SGBTRF, with optional error bound
SGBCON	estimate condition number, after factorization by SGBTRF
SGBEQU	equilibrate matrix

Notes (see also 3.1.1 where relevant):

- The routines in this group will use the same storage scheme as the LINPACK, that is, diagonals of the matrix are stored in rows of the a of the matrix are stored in columns of the array.

3.1.3 Symmetric positive-definite matrices

SPOTRF	Cholesky factorization
SPOTRS	solve linear equations, after factorization by SPOTRF
SPOTRI	compute inverse, after factorization by SPOTRF
SPORFS	refine solution computed by SPOTRS, with optional error bound
SPOCON	estimate condition number, after factorization by SPOTRF
SPOTRU	low-rank update or downdate of a Cholesky factorization
SPOTRX	permute columns of a Cholesky factorization
SPOEQU	equilibrate matrix

Notes:

- We are considering the possibility of providing all the routines an option parameter UPLO. If UPLO = 'U', the upper triangle of th matrix must be suplied and the matrix will be factored as U^TU, as in LINPACK, if UPLO = 'L', the lower triangle will be supplied, and the matrix fa as in EISPACK (routines REDUC and REDUC2). Would this additional be useful? or would it be an unwelcome complication?

- We are also considering the possibility of providing "backward" C tions UU^T and LL^T, as well as the conventional "forward" factorizations that a backward factorization is significantly faster on some machi investigate this. If there is a significant advantage in performan either of the backward factorizations as an optional alternative or even instead of them? (The LINPACK routines SSIFA already uses factorization for symmetric indefinite matrices.)

- Block algorithms for SPOTRF, SPOTRS and SPOTRI are straightfor war

- Prototype code for one possible variant of SPOTRF is presented in
- For SPOTRF, just as for SGETRF, more than one variant of the block al be derived. We will investigate the performance of different varia
- SPOTRU corresponds to the LINPACK routines SCHUD and SCHDD, with th ence that it allows a rank-k modification with $k \geq 1$. In downdating, t may have to be performed as a sequence of rank-1 downdates to main Note that these matrices can also be regarded as updating the tria QR factorization.
- SPOTRX corresponds to the LINPACK routine SCHEX. It also can be re updating the triangular factor of a QR factorization and allows su be updated by the addition or deletion of a column.

3.1.4 Symmetric positive-definite matrices in packed storage

SPPTRF	Cholesky factorization
SPPTRS	solve linear equations, after factorization by SPPTRF
SPPTRI	compute inverse, after factorization by SPPTRF
SPPRFS	refine solution computed by SPPTRS, with optional error bound
SPPCON	estimate condition number, after factorization by SPPTRF
SPPTRU	low-rank update or downdate of a Cholesky factorization
SPPTRX	permute columns of a Cholesky factorization
SPPEQU	equilibrate matrix

Notes (See also 3.1.3 where relevant):

- The routines in this group will call only Level 2 BLAS, not Level 3, BLAS do not cater for packed storage.
- The routines will use the same packed storage scheme as the Level is, if UPLO = 'U', the upper triangle is packed sequentially by co convention used in LINPACK, and is equivalent to packing the lower t if UPLO = 'L', the lower triangle is packed sequentially by column (to packing the upper triangle by rows).

3.1.5 Symmetric positive-definite band matrices

SPBTRF Cholesky-factorization
SPBTRS solution of linear equations, after factorization by SPBTRF
SPBRFS refine solution computed by SPBTRS, with optional error bound
SPBCON estimate condition number, after factorization by SPBTRF
SPBEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes (See also 3.1.3 where relevant):

- The routines in this group use the same storage scheme when UPLO = 'U routines in LINPACK, with the obvious extension when UPLO = 'L'.

3.1.6 Symmetric indefinite matrices

SSYTRF Bunch-Parlett factorization
SSYTRS solve linear equations, after factorization by SSYTRF
SSYTRI compute inverse, after factorization by SSYTRF
SSTRFS refine solution computed by SSYTRS, with optional error bound
SSYCON estimate condition number, after factorization by SSYTRF
SSYTRU low-rank update of a Bunch-Parlett factorization
SSYEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes:

- Because of the need for diagonal pivoting in the Bunch-Parlett fa not seem to be possible to develop a block algorithm for SSYTRF, h scope for using Level 2 BLAS.

- We are investigating the possibility of combining into the single functions of the LINPACK routines SSIFA and SCHDC.

- Here also we are considering the possibility of working with eithe triangle.

- SSYTRU corresponds to the routine SPOTRU, but applied to a symmetr factorization.

3.1.7 Symetric indefinite matrices, packed storage

SSPTRF Bunch-Parlett factorization
SSPTRS solve linear equations, after factorization by SSPTRF
SSPTRI compute inverse, after factorization by SSPTRF
SSPRFS refine solution computed by SSPTRS, with optional error bounds
SSPCON estimate condition number, after factorization by SSPTRF
SSPTRU low-rank update of a Bunch-Parlett factorization
SSPEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes: See 3.1.6 and 3.1.4 where relevant.

3.1.8 Triangular matrices

STRTRS solve linear equations
STRTRI compute inverse
STRRFS compute error bound
STRCON estimate condition number

Notes:

- These routines will handle either an upper or a lower triangular m
  the value of an option argument UPLO.
- STRTRS will be little more than an interface to the Level 3 BLAS r
  with the addition of a test for singularity.

3.1.9 Triangular matrices, packed storage

STPTRS solve systems of linear equations
STPTRI compute inverse
STPRFS compute error bound
STPCON estimate condition number

Notes (see also 3.1.8 where relevant):

- These routines will use the same packed storage scheme as the Level
  packing by column.

3.1.10 Triangular band matrices

STBTRS solve systems of linear equations
STBRFS compute error bound
STBCON estimate condition number

Notes (see also 3.1.8 where relevant):

- These routines will use the same storage scheme as the TB routine BLAS.

3.1.11 General tridiagonal matrices

SGTSOL Solve linear equations
SGTTRF LU-factorization with row interchanges
SGTTRS solve linear equations after factorization by SGTTRF
SGTRFS refine solution computed by SGTTRF, with optional error bound
SGTCON estimate (or compute?) condition number
SGTEQU equilibrate matrix

Notes:

- SGTSOL is similar to the LINPACK routine SGTSL: it solves the syste directly and does not save full details of the factorization; it storage and speed than successive calls to SGTTRF and SGTTRS.

- SGTCON may use Higham's results on computing condition numbers of matrice]s. [5

3.1.12 Symmetric positive-definite tridiagonal matrices

SPTSOL solve linear equations
SPTTRF Cholesky factorization
SPTTRS solve linear equations after factorization by SPTTRF
SPTRFS refine solution computed by SPTTRF, with optional error bound
SPTCON estimate (or compute?) condition number
SPTEQU equilibrate matrix
SMTSOL as SPTSOL but for multiple systems of equations each with its own right hand side

Notes (see also 3.1.11 where relevant):

- SPTSOL will have options to:

  1. perform Cholesky factorization

2. perform Cholesky factorization and solve linear equations

3. solve linear equations using the factorization from a previous

The LINPACK routine SPTSL only performs option 2 but the other opt
provided at little extra cost in complexity.

- SMTSOL is envisaged as implementing the same algorithms as SPTSOL,
vectorization over the systems of equations. This requirement is c
P. D. E.'s.

3.1.13 QR factorization and related routines

SGEQRF	QR factorization of a rectangular matrix without pivoting
SGEQRP	as SGEQRF but with column interchanges
SGERQF	RQ factorization of a rectangular matrix
STZRQF	RQ factorization of an upper trapezoidal matrix
SGEQRS	solve linear least squares problem after factorization by SGEQRF or SGEQPR
SORGEN	generate leading columns of an orthogonal matrix which is def as a product of Householder matrices
SORMUL	multiply a rectangular matrix by an orthogonal matrix which i defined as a product of Householder matrices
SGEQRU	rank-k update of a QR factorization

Notes:

- Block algorithms for SGEQRF have been described by Bischof and V
Walker], 9 and Schreiber and van Loan [10

- Two distinct routines SGEQRP and SGEQRF are proposed: one with, an
out, the facility for column interchanges. The argument list for
good deal simpler than that of SGEQRP. SGEQRF is envisaged as a modu
primarily be used as a component in algorithms such as the singula
sition and the generalized eigenvalue problem, whereas SGEQRP wil
for solving linear least squares problems.

- It is not possible to implement a block algorithm for SGEQRP if ar
interchanges are to be allowed. However, we will investigate the p
safeguarded local pivoting strategy proposed by Bischof [4] only
within the current block provided that this is acceptable. We will
an option to specify either global pivoting or this local pivoting

- SGERQF is intended primarily for factorizing an m-by-n matrix wi
  $[0 : R]Q$ where R is upper triangular. This is needed in some applica
  optimization, and also as a first step in computing the SVD of an m-b
  $m \leq n$. A block algorithm analogous to that for SGEQRF can be used.

- STZRQF will factorize an m-by-n upper trapezoidal matrix with $m \leq$
  where R is upper triangular. This is needed to compute the compl
  factorization of a rank-deficient matrix and hence to obtain the mi
  tion of rank-deficient linear least squares problems (see Laws on a
  details).

- SGEQRS may provide only the straightforward solution of a full-r
  squares problem, that is, not necessarily all of the functions prov
  routine SQRSL. Other functions provided by SQRSL can be obtained by
  of SORMUL.

- SORMUL will have options to compute B, QB, Q^TB, BQ, or BQ^T for given B (over-
  writing the result on B).

- SORGEN will allow the factor Q in a QR factorization to be formed ex

- Both SORMUL and SORGEN can use block algorithms.

- SGEQRU will perform a low-rank update of a QR factorization, i.e. $\tilde{Q}\tilde{R}$.

- Note that other updates of QR factorization can be obtained fro
  SPOTRU and SPOTRX (see 3.1.3).

3.1.14 Generalized QR Factorization

SGGQRP generalized QR factorization of a pair of rectangular matric
(pivoting is necessary)

Notes:

- SGGQRP will compute a generalized QR factorization as defined by Pa

3.2 Eigenvalue Problems

This section of LAPACK is concerned with computing eigenvalues and eige
values and singular vectors, of standard and generalized problems. It
facilities of EISPACK as well as many new ones, with the routines beir
systematically than in EISPACK.

Notes:

- The routines for unsymmetric problems allow the Schur factorizati
  with a separate routine for computing eigenvectors of the triangul
- For backtransformation of eigenvectors, either the Level 3 BLAS r
  or STRSM or the routine SORMUL can be called as appropriate; hence
  specifically for backtransformation have been proposed (except af
  would users prefer the calls to be packaged into specific back-trans

3.2.1 Symetric eigenvalue problems

SSYTRD	reduce symmetric matrix to tridiagonal form
SSPTRD	reduce symmetric matrix in packed storage to tridiagonal for
SSBTRD	reduce symmetric band matrix to tridiagonal form
SSTEQR	all eigenvalues and optionally all eigenvectors of symmetric tridiagonal matrix, using QR algorithm
SSTEDC	all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric tridiagonal ma using a divide-and-conquer algorithm
SSTEIN	selected eigenvectors of symmetric tridiagonal matrix, by in
SSTEBM	selected eigenvalues of symmetric tridiagonal matrix, by bisection/multisection
SSTEVU	eigenvalues and eigenvectors of rank-1 update of symmetric tridiagonal matrix
SSBEBM	eigenvalues of symmetric banded matrix using Szyld's algorithm

Notes:

- A block algorithm for SSYTRD is described in Working Note #2
- We are considering the possibility of allowing SSYTRD, SSPTRD and
  work with either the upper or lower triangle of the symmetric matr
  the value of an option argument UPLO.
- Issues concerned with the choice of method for SSTEQR, SSTEDC and S
  discussed in chapter 1 of Working Note #4. Resolution of those iss
  result in a different structure of routines from that proposed here
- SSTEIN is intended for computing eigenvectors by inverse iteratio
  eigenvalues which have already been computed by SSTEQR or SSTEBM
- to form the orthogonal matrix used for the reduction in SSYTRD, use
- to back-transform eigenvectors computed by SSTEQR or SSTEDC or S
  those of an original symmetric matrix, use SORMUL.

3.2.2 Unsymmetric eigenvalue problems

SGEHRD	reduce unsymmetric matrix to upper Hessenberg form
SHSEQR	all or part of Schur factorization of upper Hessenberg matrix
STREVC	eigenvectors of upper quasi-triangular matrix
SHSEIN	selected eigenvectors of upper Hessenberg matrix, by inverse
SGEBAL	balance an unsymmetric matrix
SGEBAK	backtransform eigenvectors to those of the matrix balanced b
STRSEN	computes or estimates condition numbers associated with a single invariant subspace
STRSNA	computes or estimates condition numbers associated with all eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs
STRSYL	solve quasi-triangular Sylvester equation
STREXC	exchange adjacent diagonal elements or blocks of upper quasi-triangular matrix

Notes:

- a block algorithm for SGEHRD is described in Working Note #2.
- block QR methods are being investigated for SHSEQR
- STREVC will have options to compute either left or right eigenvect
- a prototype for STREXC is the algorithm of Stewart [14] and Ng and Parlett [15].
- STRSEN will require the user to specify the eigenvalues which de invariant subspace. We expect to base this routine on the methods c
- STRSNA will be based on the algorithm of Chan, Fellman and Parlett [4] for computing the sensitivities of the eigenvalues, and on other methods o estimating the condition numbers of the eigenvectors.
- STRSYL will solve the equation $AX + XB = C$ when A and B are both u triangular or quasi-triangular. This routine will be needed by STR Block algorithms are being investigated by Kågström [18].

3.2.3 Singular value problems

SGEBRD	reduce a rectangular matrix to upper bidiagonal form
STRBRD	reduce an upper triangular matrix to upper bidiagonal form
SGBBRD	reduce a band matrix to upper bidiagonal form
SBDSQR	all or part of singular value factorization of upper bidiagor matrix, by QR algorithm
SBDSDC	singular value factorization of upper bidiagonal matrix using a divide-and-conquer algorithm
SBDSIN	selected singular vectors of upper bidiagonal matrix, by inv
SBDSBM	selected singular values of upper bidiagonal matrix, by bise
SBDSVU	singular values and vectors of rank-1 update of upper bidiago

Notes:

- For reduction to bidiagonal form, two paths are provided: either by SGEBRD, or QR-factorization by SGEQRF followed by reduction o triangular factor by STRBRD.
- A block algorithm for SGEBRD is discussed in Working Note #2.
- Algorithms and related issues concerning SBDSVF, SBDSDC, SBDSIN a are discussed in Working Note #3 and in Chapter 2 of Working Note #4
- SGBBRD will reduce a band matrix to bidiagonal form while preserv structure, using sequences of plane rotations in a similar manner corresponds to the EISPACK routine BANDR).

3.2.4 Symetric-definite generalized eigenproblems

SSYGST	reduce problem to standard form
SSPGST	as SSYGST using packed storage
SSBGST	as SSYGST for band matrices
SDBEBM	Szyld's bisection/Rayleigh quotient algorithm for band matr

Notes:

- SSBGST will be based on the algorithm of Crawford [19
- to backtransform eigenvectors of the standard problem to those o problem use STRSM after reduction by SSYGST, or STPSV after red SSPGST.

3.2.5 Unsymmetric generalized eigenproblems

The routines in this group deal with square matrix pencils (A, B) in
triangular; a QR-factorization of B can be used to achieve this formi

SGGHRD	reduce a pencil (A, B) to one in which A is upper Hessenberg
SHGEQR	all or part of generalized Schur factorization of a matrix (A, B) in which A is upper Hessenberg
STGEVC	eigenvectors of a pencil (A, B) in which A is upper quasi-tr
SHGEIN	selected eigenvectors of a matrix pencil (A, B) in which A i by inverse iteration
SGGBAL	balance a matrix pencil
SGGBAK	backtransformei eigenvectors to those of a pencil balanced b
STGSEN	computes or estimates condition numbers associated with a subspace
STGSNA	computes or estimates condition numbers associated with e eigenvalue-eigenvector pair.
STGSYL	solve triangular generalized Sylvester equation
STGEXC	exchange adjacent diagonal elements or blocks of a pencil (A is upper quasi-triangular

Notes:

- a prototype for STGEXC is the subroutine EXCHQZ of Van Dooren [20
- for SGGBAL see Ward [21
- STGSYL will solve the equation $AX + YB = C, DX + YE = F$ when A, B, C an D are upper triangular or quasi-triangular. This routine will be and STGSNA.

3.2.6 Generalized singular value problems

STGSJA all or part of generalized SVD of a pair of triangular matrice singular values, and optionally vectors, using Jacobi's metho

Notes:

- to compute the GSVD of a pair of rectangular matrices, it is assume will be proceeded by a call of SGGQRP (see 3.1.14).
- STGSJA will take triangular A and B and return orthogonal U, V, Q, and diagonal C and S, such that UAQ=CR, VBQ=SR. R is overwritten on It requires workspace for extra copies of both A and B.

3.3 Questions for the Community

For convenience we summarize here those questions on which we would par
feedback:

- Should we provide the facility to work with either the upper or the
  symmetric matrix (see 3.1.3)? If the answer is yes, should we provi
  in routines for the symmetric eigenvalue problem (see 3.2.1)?
- Should we provide a backward Cholesky factorization instead of, or
  native to, the usual Cholesky factorization, if it is significantl
- Have we provided sufficient facilities for computing or updating QR
  torizations (see 3.1.13)?
- Should we provide routines for systems of equations with other kin
  ture, for example; block tridiagonal, almost block diagonal ("sta
  Our feeling at this state is that we should not, or at least that w
  any work on them. In some cases (e.g. for symmetric positive-def
  systems), it may be possible for us to illustrate how routines to
  built out of other LAPACK components.

4 Aspects of Software Design

4.1 Design of Calling Sequences

Arguments of an LAPACK routine will appear in the following order:

- arguments specifying options
- problem dimensions
- array or scalar arguments defining the input data; some of them may
  by results
- other array or scalar arguments returning results
- work arrays (and associated array dimensions)
- diagnostic argument INFO

The examples in Appendix A illustrate what this ordering implies in

Arguments specifying options will usually be CHARACTER*1 argumen
Level 2 and Level 3 BLAS. They have the advantage that a longer charact
passed as the actual argument, making the calling program more readab

```
CALL SPOTRF ('Upper triangle', . . . )
```

The significant initial character may be in upper or lower case.

It will be permissible for the problem dimensions to be passed as z
the computation (or part of it) will be skipped. (See also section 5.5.
will be regarded as an error.

Each 2-dimensional array argument will be immediately followed in
by its leading dimension, whose name will have the form LD<array-name

All documented routines will have a diagnostic argument INFO. (See

4.2 Error-handling

The diagnostic argument INFO will indicate the success or failure of t

- INFO = 0: successful termination
- INFO < 0: illegal value of argument - no computation performed
- INFO > 0: failure in the course of computation

All documented routines will check that input arguments such as N,
mitted values, even if the same checks are repeated by lower level ro
that any error-message can name the routine that the user called, rath
routine that he may be unaware of.

If an illegal value of the i-th argument is detected, the routine w
handling routine XERBLA and then set INFO = $-i$. XERBLA has the same spe
as in the Level 2 and Level 3 BLAS: its 1st argument is the name of the
and its 2nd argument is the number of the argument with an illegal va
implementation of XERBLA prints a message and stops, but this is open
by installers.

We do not propose to call any error-handling routine such as XERBLA
with INFO > 0.

4.3 Choice of Block Size

Routines which implement block algorithms will need to obtain a value
from an enquiry routine. Determining optimal, or near optimal, bloc
environments is a major research topic for the LAPACK project. The opt
depend on several factors, such as the architecture of the machine, t
problem, and the current state of the system (for example, the cache,
or the number of processors available). In the preliminary phase of

routine will be designed so that the block size can be specified by the
the effects of varying the block size can be studied.

On many machine architectures (for example, most scalar machines
processor vector machines), block algorithms offer no advantage over a
A block algorithm executed with block size equal to 1 would have the s
the unblocked version of the same algorithm, but would be inefficient be
calls to Level 3 BLAS, where calls to Level 1 or 2 BLAS would be sufficient
algorithms (for example, SGETRF and SPOTRF in Appendix A), setting t
to n (or greater), where n is the order of the matrix, has the effect of
the unblocked version (the whole matrix is treated as a single block)
algorithms (for example, those described in Working Note #2), this is
have a consistent convention, we shall ensure (by special code) that a
execution of an efficient unblocked version of each block algorithm.

Hitherto we have envisaged routines working with a fixed block size
vary from one installation to another, possibly also from one routine
sophisticated strategy is to allow the block size to vary dynamically
the algorithm - for example, allowing the block size to increase in o
constant the size of submatrices passed to Level 3 BLAS matrices. We p
whether or not dynamic blocking would offer significant benefits in perf
that implementing it would involve hardly any extra complication in t
elaborate procedure would be required to determine a good dynamic blo
each machine. [11]

4.4 Workspace

Many LAPACK routines will require workspace. We do not think that the
automatic workspace allocation devised by Fox, Hall-Holt, Hindmarsh, Schryer [22]
is suitable for LAPACK. It involves the use of a shared labeled COMMO
is likely to cause difficulties on multi-tasking machines, and requires
usage if the user wishes to use more than the default amount of workspa

Therefore work arrays will need to be passed as arguments to LAPACK r
shortage of memory is not likely to be a serious constraint on the m
LAPACK is primarily targeted, we think it reasonable for a routine to
equivalent to several vectors of length n, where n is the order of the
design routines to use more than the minimum possible amount of worksp
icantly improves their performance. However, we shall always avoid workspac
unless absolutely necessary.

A number of routines implementing block algorithms will require wo
to hold one block of columns of the matrix, that is, workspace of size n

block size. This raises a difficulty since the block size will vary in d
and in any case will not be known by the user. Our proposal in such case
user to supply a work array of length lw, say, where lw is also passed as
routine, and lw is as large as is convenient; the routine can then comp
and use $nbmax$ as an upper limit on the block size. Thus the block size
than optimal if insufficient workspace has been provided, but our presen
that speed is comparatively insensitive to variations in block size
on either side of the optimum.

4.5 Array Arguments

All array arguments will be declared as assumed-size arrays (last di m

```
REAL A(LDA,*), W(*)
```

This has two advantages over declarations as adjustable arrays such

```
REAL A(LDA,N), W(N)
```

- The routines can be called with $N = 0$, without contravening the Fort
- For 2-dimensional arrays, the corresponding actual argument can
  element of a 2-dimensional array in the calling program, again wi
  the standard.

There is one restriction of standard Fortran which we prefer not t
not affect the way in which LAPACK routines are called, but does affect
LAPACK routines, when lower level routines such as the Level 3 BLAS a
standard requires that if a 2-dimensional array is declared as A(LDA
array passed must be at least LDA elements long. This implies a cont
standard if the actual argument is an element of the last column of the
program, say A(I, N) with I > 1. We know of only one compiler which is cap
this contravention. Rather than introduce special code to handle such
the lower level routines will be compiled without these checks being p

4.6 Numerical machine-dependencies

Many LAPACK routines will require the value of the relative machine pre
to make this available through an enquiry function where the value ca
reliable portable manner (or if an installer desires, a specific value
We prefer explicit reference to an enquiry function, rather than atte
value in-line wherever it is needed, or relying on tests such as TEST.N

Some LAPACK routines will also require access to values related to numbers on the machine, in order to avoid overflow or underflow by suitabl values, BIG (the largest "safe" number in the machine) and TINY (the s "safe" number in the machine) will be made available by a numeric enqui the relative machine precision, TINY can be computed in a reliable ma way, but BIG can not. Instead, the portable version will return a cons as 1.0E+35 for BIG that is safe for most known machines. This value cou correspond to whatever machine is being used. The only disadvantage value than the machine could permit will be that scaling is performed s than strictly necessary. In addition to the relative machine precisi radix will also be made available, computed in a machine independent w

4.7 Provision for Parallel Execution

The loop-based aspect of parallelism is generally straightforward. currently give adequate support to the concept of loop-based parall invoking this within the Level 3 BLAS and perhaps also within the Leve following the activities of the Parallel Computing Forum [23] with the hope computer vendors, software developers, national laboratories, and u technical information and to document agreements on constructs for pr applications for shared memory parallel processors. The Forum is plan proposal for parallel Fortran constructs by the end of the summer.

In all the cases we are aware of when loop-based parallelism is in level, subsequent invocations at a lower level of a nested loop are eit queued to ensure a correct parallel execution as long as the machine mechanisms are used. Therefore, we do not expect to suffer from the pro with a user invoking parallelism at a level that is above a call to an L depends upon BLAS that also invoke parallelism.

Several of the algorithms we intend to implement will require more parallelism. These algorithms will rely upon the simplified SCHEDULE to invoke parallelism. We refer the reader to [24] and ideas used in t remainder of this section. The simplifications to SCHEDULE will inc in the layers of subroutine calls between the act of placing a proce computational graph and its subsequent execution. It will also repla that were constructed for general use with ones that are specific to t will reduce overhead involved with special cases and error checking t general case but not in the specific algorithms that will arise in LAP generic "work" routines specific to each algorithm which will receive to identify and invoke a process by decoding integer arguments. There

to record entry points and addresses as there is in the general SCHEI
Moreover, all of the code will be in Fortran. Since there will on th
four possibilities for different subroutines executing in parallel v
algorithm, a simple examination of cases will suffice to decide which s
executed with respect to a given process descriptor.

Preferably a loop-based mechanism will be employed to get the gene
work subroutines executing in parallel. Critical sections will be co
synchronization primitive is available on the given machine. A simp
("lockon" and "lockoff") are sufficient for this purpose but other equiv
might be used in their place. In keeping with the discussion of works
there will be no use of named common as was done in SCHEDULE. Instead t
shared work space will be passed as parameters and shared through cal
needed.

4.8 Mixed Language Programming

LAPACK will be coded in Fortran 77 and designed to be called from Fortr
However, we hope to gain experience of calling LAPACK from other pro
guages, for example C or Ada, and to be able to give advice about it in t
documentation.

5 Appendix A

We include here prototype code for two LAPACK routines. This code is in
to show the typical style and structure of LAPACK routines. Other v
particular routines are possible, and we make no claim that the varia
give the best performance.

In addition to Level 3 BLAS, each routine calls an unblocked version
rithm (subroutines SGETF2 and SPOTF2).

5.1 SGETRF

```
      SUBROUTINE SGETRF( M, N, A, LDA, IPIV, INFO )
*
*  -- LAPACK routine --
*     Argonne National Laboratory
*     September 14, 1988
*
*     .. Scalar arguments ..
      INTEGER            M, N, LDA, INFO
*     .. Array arguments ..
      INTEGER            IPIV( * )
      REAL               A( LDA, * )
*
*  Purpose
*  =======
*
*     SGETRF computes the LU factorization of a general m-by-n
*     matrix A, using partial pivoting with row interchanges.
*     This is the Level 3 BLAS version of the algorithm, reducing NB
*     columns at a time.
*
*  Arguments
*  =========
*
*  M      - INTEGER.
*           On entry, M specifies the number of rows of the matrix
*           A .  M must be at least zero.
*           Unchanged on exit.
*
```

```
*  N      - INTEGER.
*           On entry, N specifies the number of columns of the matrix
*           A . N must be at least zero.
*           Unchanged on exit.
*
*  A      - REAL             array of DIMENSION ( LDA, N ).
*           On entry, A specifies the array which contains the matrix
*           being factored.
*           On exit, the array A is overwritten by the
*           LU factorization. The factorization can be written as
*           A = L*U where L is a product of permutation and unit lower
*           triangular matrices and U is an upper triangular matrix.
*
*  LDA    - INTEGER.
*           On entry, LDA specifies the first dimension of A as declared
*           in the calling (sub) program.
*           LDA must be at least  max( 1, M ).
*           Unchanged on exit.
*
*  IPIV   - INTEGER           array of DIMENSION ( M ).
*           On exit, the array IPIV contains the pivot indices.
*
*  INFO   - INTEGER.
*           On exit, a value of 0 indicates a normal return; a positive
*           value, say K, indicates that U(K,K) = 0.0 exactly.
*           This is not an error condition for this subroutine, but it
*           does indicate that SGETRS or SGETRI will divide by zero
*           if called. Use routine SGECON for a reliable indication of
*           singularity.
*           A negative value, say -K, indicates the Kth argument has an
*           illegal value.
*
*     .. Parameters ..
      REAL               ONE
      PARAMETER          ( ONE = 1.0E+0 )
*     .. Local scalars ..
      INTEGER            I, IP, J, JB, NB
*     .. External subroutines ..
```

```
      EXTERNAL           ENVIR, SGEMM, SGETF2, SSWAP, STRSM, XERBLA
*     .. Intrinsic functions ..
      INTRINSIC          MAX, MIN
*     ..
*     .. Executable Statements ..
*     Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting
*
*     Test the input parameters.
*
      INFO = 0
*
*     Quick return if possible.
*
      IF( M.EQ.0 .OR. N.EQ.0 ) RETURN
      IF( M.LT.0 )THEN
         INFO = -1
      ELSE IF( N.LT.0 )THEN
         INFO = -2
      ELSE IF( LDA.LT.MAX( 1, M ) )THEN
         INFO = -4
      END IF
      IF( INFO.NE.0 )THEN
         CALL XERBLA( 'SGETRF', -INFO )
         RETURN
      END IF
*
*     Determine the block size for this environment.
*
      CALL ENVIR( 'Get', NB )
      IF( NB.EQ.1 ) NB = N
*
      DO 40 J = 1, N, NB
         JB = MIN( N - J + 1, NB )
*
*        Apply previous interchanges to current block.
*
         DO 10 I = 1, J - 1
            IP = IPIV( I )
```

```
            IF( IP.NE.I )
     $         CALL SSWAP( JB, A( I, J ), LDA, A( IP, J ), LDA )
   10    CONTINUE
*
*        Compute superdiagonal block of U.
*
         CALL STRSM( 'Left', 'Lower', 'No transpose', 'Unit', J - 1,
     $               JB, ONE, A, LDA, A( 1, J ), LDA )
*
*        Update diagonal and subdiagonal blocks.
*
         CALL SGEMM( 'No transpose', 'No transpose', M - J + 1, JB,
     $               J - 1, -ONE, A( J, 1 ), LDA, A( 1, J ), LDA, ONE,
     $               A( J, J ), LDA )
*
*        Factorize diagonal and subdiagonal blocks and test for exact
*        singularity.
*
         CALL SGETF2( M - J + 1, JB, A( J, J ), LDA, IPIV( J ), INFO )
         DO 20 I = J, J + JB - 1
            IPIV( I ) = J - 1 + IPIV( I )
   20    CONTINUE
         IF( INFO.EQ.0 ) THEN
*
*           Apply interchanges to previous blocks.
*
            DO 30 I = J, J + JB - 1
               IP = IPIV( I )
               IF( IP.NE.I )
     $            CALL SSWAP( J - 1, A( I, 1 ), LDA, A( IP, 1 ), LDA )
   30       CONTINUE
         ELSE
*
*           If INFO is not zero, a zero pivot was found in SGETF2.
*           Correct the index returned from SGETF2 and go on.
*
            INFO = INFO + J - 1
         ENDIF
```

```
   40 CONTINUE
      RETURN
*
*     End of SGETRF
      END
```

5.2 SPOTRF

```
      SUBROUTINE SPOTRF( UPLO, N, A, LDA, INFO )
*
*  -- LAPACK routine --
*     Argonne National Laboratory
*     September 14, 1988
*
*     .. Scalar arguments ..
      CHARACTER*1        UPLO
      INTEGER            N, LDA, INFO
*
*     .. Array arguments ..
      REAL               A( LDA, * )
*
*  Purpose
*  =======
*
*     SPOTRF computes the Cholesky factorization of a symmetric
*     positive definite matrix A.
*     This is the Level 3 BLAS version of the algorithm, reducing NB
*     columns at a time.
*
*  Arguments
*  =========
*
*  UPLO   - CHARACTER*1.
*           On entry, UPLO specifies whether the upper or lower
*           triangular part of the symmetric matrix A is stored.
*              UPLO = 'U' or 'u'   The upper triangle of A is stored.
*              UPLO = 'L' or 'l'   The lower triangle of A is stored.
*           Unchanged on exit.
*
*  N      - INTEGER.
*           On entry, N specifies the number of columns of the matrix
*           A . N must be at least zero.
*           Unchanged on exit.
*
*  A      - REAL             array of DIMENSION ( LDA, N ).
```

```
*           On entry, A specifies the array which contains the matrix
*           being factored.
*           On exit, the array A is overwritten by the
*           Cholesky factorization. The factorization can be written as
*           either A = L*L' where L is a lower triangular matrix
*           or as A = U'*U where U is an upper triangular matrix.
*
*  LDA    - INTEGER.
*           On entry, LDA specifies the first dimension of A as declared
*           in the calling (sub) program.
*           LDA must be at least  max( 1, N ).
*           Unchanged on exit.
*
*  INFO   - INTEGER.
*           On exit, a value of 0 indicates a normal return.
*           A positive value K indicates that the leading minor of
*           order K is not positive definite, which is an error
*           condition that causes the subroutine to end.
*           A negative value, say -K, indicates the K-th argument has an
*           illegal value.
*
*     .. Parameters ..
      REAL               ONE
      PARAMETER          ( ONE = 1.0E+0 )
*     .. Local scalars ..
      INTEGER            J, JB, NB
*     .. External functions ..
      LOGICAL            LSAME
      EXTERNAL           LSAME
*     .. External subroutines ..
      EXTERNAL           ENVIR, SGEMM, SPOTF2, SSYRK, STRSM, XERBLA
*     .. Intrinsic functions ..
      INTRINSIC          MAX, MIN
*     ..
*     .. Executable Statements ..
*
*     Test the input parameters.
*
```

```
      INFO = 0
*
*     Quick return if possible.
*
      IF( N.EQ.0 ) RETURN
      IF( ( .NOT.LSAME( UPLO  , 'U' ) ).AND.
     $    ( .NOT.LSAME( UPLO  , 'L' ) )      )THEN
         INFO = -1
      ELSE IF( N.LT.0 )THEN
         INFO = -2
      ELSE IF( LDA.LT.MAX( 1, N ) )THEN
         INFO = -4
      END IF
      IF( INFO.NE.0 )THEN
         CALL XERBLA( 'SPOTRF', -INFO )
         RETURN
      END IF
*
*     Determine the block size for this environment.
*
      CALL ENVIR( 'Get', NB )
      IF( NB.EQ.1 ) NB = N
*
      IF( LSAME( UPLO, 'U' ) )THEN
*
*        Compute the Cholesky factorization of a symmetric matrix
*        stored in the upper part of the array.
*
         DO 10 J = 1, N, NB
            JB = MIN( NB, N - J + 1 )
*
*           Update diagonal block.
*
            CALL SSYRK( 'Upper' , 'Transpose', JB, J - 1,
     $                  -ONE, A( 1, J ), LDA, ONE, A( J, J ), LDA )
*
*           Factorize diagonal block and test for
*           non-positive-definiteness.
```

```
*
            CALL SPOTF2( 'Upper', JB, A( J, J ), LDA, INFO )
            IF( INFO.NE.0 ) GO TO 30
*
*           Update superdiagonal block.
*
            CALL SGEMM( 'Transpose', 'No Transpose',
     $                  JB, N - J - JB + 1, J - 1,
     $                  -ONE, A( 1, J ), LDA, A( 1, J + JB ), LDA,
     $                  ONE, A( J, J + JB ), LDA)
*
*           Compute superdiagonal block of U.
*
            CALL STRSM( 'Left', 'Upper', 'Transpose', 'Non-unit',
     $                  JB, N - J - JB + 1, ONE, A( J, J ), LDA,
     $                  A( J, J + JB ), LDA )
   10    CONTINUE
      ELSE
*
*        Compute the Cholesky factorization of a symmetric matrix
*        stored in the lower part of the array.
*
         DO 20 J = 1, N, NB
            JB = MIN( NB, N - J + 1 )
*
*           Update diagonal block.
*
            CALL SSYRK( 'Lower', 'No transpose', JB, J - 1,
     $                  -ONE, A( J, 1 ), LDA, ONE, A( J, J ), LDA )
*
*           Factorize diagonal block and test for
*           non-positive-definiteness.
*
            CALL SPOTF2( 'Lower', JB, A( J, J ), LDA, INFO )
            IF( INFO.NE.0 ) GO TO 30
*
*           Update subdiagonal block.
*
```

```
            CALL SGEMM( 'No transpose', 'Transpose',
     $                  N - J - JB + 1, JB, J - 1,
     $                  -ONE, A( J + JB, 1 ), LDA, A( J, 1 ), LDA,
     $                  ONE, A( J + JB, J ), LDA)
*
*           Compute subdiagonal block of L.
*
            CALL STRSM( 'Right', 'Lower', 'Transpose', 'Non-unit',
     $                  N - J - JB + 1, JB, ONE, A( J, J ), LDA,
     $                  A( J + JB, J ), LDA )
   20    CONTINUE
         ENDIF
         GO TO 40
*
   30 CONTINUE
         INFO = INFO + J - 1
*
   40 CONTINUE
         RETURN
*
*     End of SPOTRF
         END
```

6 Appendix B

6.1 LINPACK and EISPACK Counterparts

EISPACK	LAPACK	Function
BAKVEC		Invert the balancing made by FIGI ( )
BALANC	SGEBAL	Apply balancing transformations
BALBAK	SGEBAK	Invert the balancing transformation made by BALANC
BANDR	SSBTRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal form
BANDV		Given approximate eigenvalues of a band matrix, use to obtain corresponding eigenvectors
BISECT	SSTEBM	Determine eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagonal ma specified interval using Sturmsequences
BQR		Determine some eigenvalues using the QR method
CBABK2	CGEBAK	Invert the balancing transformation made by CBAL (C
CBAL	CGEBAL	Apply balancing transformations
CG	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of general matrix (driver routine)
CH	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors of Hermitian matrix (driver routine)
CINVIT	CHSEIN	Given approximate eigenvalues, use inverse iterati corresponding eigenvectors
COMBAK	Note A	Given eigenvectors of upper Hessenberg matrix compu COMHES ( ), compute corresponding eigenvectors of th matrix.
COMHES	Note A	Reduce to upper Hessenberg formusing elimination
COMLR	Note A	Compute all eigenvalues using modified LR algorithm
COMLR2	Note A	Compute all eigenvalues and eigenvectors using modi
COMQR	CHSEQR	Compute all eigenvalues using QR algorithm
COMQR2	CUNGEN CHSEQR CTREVC (CTRMM)	Compute all eigenvalues and eigenvectors using QR a
CORTB	CUNMUL	Given eigenvectors of upper Hessenberg matrix compu compute corresponding eigenvectors of original mat
CORTH	CGEHRD	Reduce to upper Hessenberg formusing Householder m
ELMBAK	Note A	Given eigenvectors of the upper Hessenberg matrix o compute corresponding eigenvectors of original mat
ELMHES	Note A	Reduce to upper Hessenberg formusing elimination
ELTRAN	Note A	Use the output of ELMHES to construct the similarity transformation that generates the upper Hessenberg
FIGI		Use a balancing transformation to symmetrize a nons tridiagonal matrix for which $a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,i} \geq 0$ for every i
FIGI2		Similar to FIGI except that the balancing transform
HQR	SHSEQR	Compute all eigenvalues using the implicit QR metho
HQR2	SHSEQR STREVC (STRMM)	Compute all eigenvalues and eigenvalues and eigenvectors using the implicit QR method

HTRIB3	CUNMUL	Given eigenvectors of the real symmetric tridiago by HTRID3, compute the corresponding eigenvectors matrix
HTRIBK	CUNMUL	Given eigenvectors of the real symmetric tridiago by HTRIDI, compute the corresponding eigenvectors matrix
HTRID3	CHPTRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal matrix using Hous matrices; input matrix stored in packed form
HTRIDI	CHETRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal matrix using Hous
IMTQL1	SSTEQR or SSTEDC	Compute eigenvalues using the implicit QL method
IMTQL2	SSTEQR or SSTEDC	Compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors using th implicit QL method;
IMTQLV	SSTEQR	Compute eigenvalues using implicit QL method whil input matrix
INVIT	SHSEIN	Compute eigenvectors corresponding to given eiger of an upper Hessenberg matrix, using inverse itera
MINFIT	driver	For the linear system $Ax = b$, compute the singular v decomposition $A^T = QuSP$ the vector b Q
ORTBAK	SORMUL	Given eigenvectors of the upper Hessenberg matrix ORTHES, compute the corresponding eigenvectors of
ORTHES	SGEHRD	Reduce to upper Hessenberg formusing Householder
ORTRAN	SORGEN	Use the output of ORTHES to construct the similarity transformation that generates the upper Hessenberg form
QZHES	SGEQRF SORMUL SGGHRD	Reduce the generalized eigenproblemto standard form, where one matrix is upper Hessenberg and the other matrix is upper triangular
QZIT + QZVAL	SHGEQR	Given the generalized eigenproblem $Ax = \lambda Bx$ where A is upper Hessenberg and B is upper triangul reduce A to quasi-upper triangular formusing the and compute the eigenvalues for the generalized eigenproblem $Ax = \lambda Bx$, where A is quasi-upper tria and B is upper triangle
QZVEC	STGEVC (STRMM)	Given the eigenvalues for the generalized eigenproblem $Ax = \lambda Bx$, where A is quasi-upper triangular and B is upper triangular, compute the corresponding eigenvectors

RATQR	SSTEBM	Determine extreme eigenvalues of a symmetric trid matrix using the QR method with Newton corrections
REBAK	(STRSM)	Given the eigenvectors of the symmetric matrix output by REDUC or REDUC2, compute the eigenvector corresponding to the original generalized eigenpr
REBAKB	(STRMM)	Given the eigenvectors of the symmetric matrix output by REDUC2, compute the eigenvectors corresponding to the original eigenproblem $ABx = \lambda$
REDUC	SSYGST	Reduce the symmetric generalized eigenproblem $Ax = \lambda Bx$, where B is positive definite to the standa symmetric eigenproblemusing the Cholesky factori of B
REDUC2	SSYGST	Reduce the eigenvalue problem $ABx = \lambda x$, where both A and B are symmetric and either A or B is positive definite to the standard symmetric eigenproblemusing the Cholesky factorization
RG	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o general matrix (driver routine)
RGG	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o general generalized system $Ax = \lambda Bx$ (driver routin
RS	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o symmetric matrix (driver routine)
RSB	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o symmetric band matrix (driver routine)
RSG	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o symmetric generalized system $Ax = \lambda Bx$, where A is s B is positive definite (driver routine)
RSGAB	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o symmetric generalized system $ABx = \lambda x$, where A is s B is positive definite (driver routine)
RSGBA	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o symmetric generalized system $BAx = \lambda x$, where A is s B is positive definite (driver routine)
RSM	driver	Compute some eigenvalues and optionally eigenvect symmetric matrix (driver routine)
RSP	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o symmetric matrix stored in packed form(driver rou
RST	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o symmetric tridiagonal matrix (driver routine)
RT	driver	Compute eigenvalues and optionally eigenvectors o tridiagonal matrix T with $a_i = a_{i+1}$ or $b_i = b_{i+1} = 0$ for every i (driver ro

SVD	driver	Compute the singular value decomposition
TINVIT	SSTEIN	Compute the eigenvectors corresponding to given eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix, usi
TQL1	SSTEQR	Compute all eigenvalues using the QL algorithm
TQL2	SSTEQR or SSTEDC	Compute all eigenvalues and eigenvectors using the QL method;if the eigenpairs of a symmetric matr are desired, input the similarity transformation computed by TRED2
TQLRAT	SSTEQR	Determine all eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagor by the rational QL method
TRBAK1	SORMUL	Forms the eigenvectors of a real symmetric matrix f of that symmetric tridiagonal matrix determined b
TRBAK3	SORMUL	Forms the eigenvectors of a real symmetric matrix f of that symmetric tridiagonal matrix determined b
TRED1	SSYTRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal formusing Househ
TRED2	SSYTRD and SORMUL	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal formusing Househ the similarity transformation that yields the tri also constructed
TRED3	SSPTRD	Reduce to symmetric tridiagonal formusing Householder transformations; input matrix stored
TRIDIB	SSTEBM	Compute those eigenvalues between specified indices using the Sturmsequence property
TSTURM	SSTEBM and SSTEIN	Compute those eigenvalues in a specified interval using the Sturmsequence property; the corresponding eigenvectors are computed using the inverse iteration

LINPACK	LAPACK	Function
SCHDC	SSYTRF	computes the Cholesky decomposition of a positive
		matrix. a pivoting option allows the user to estima
		condition of a positive definite matrix or determin
		of a positive semidefinite matrix.
SCHDD	SPOTRU	downdates an augmented Cholesky decomposition or t
		triangular factor of an augmented qr decomposition
SCHEX	SPOTRX	updates the Cholesky factorization
SCHUD	SPOTRU	updates an augmented Cholesky decomposition of th
		triangular part of an augmented qr decomposition.
SGBCO	SGBTRF	factors a band matrix by Gaussian
	SGBCON	elimination and estimates the condition of the mat
SGBDI	SGBTRI	computes the determinant of a band matrix
		using the factors computed by SGBCO or SGBFA.
		if the inverse is needed, use SGBSL n times.
SGBFA	SGBTRF	factors a band matrix by elimination.
SGBSL	SGBTRS	solves the band system $Ax = b$ or $A^T x = b$
		using the factors computed by SGBCO or SGBFA.
SGECO	SGETRF	factors a matrix by Gaussian elimination
	SGECON	and estimates the condition of the matrix.
SGEDI	SGETRI	computes the determinant and inverse of a matrix
		using the factors computed by SGECO or SGEFA.
SGEFA	SGETRF	factors a matrix by Gaussian elimination.
SGESL	SGETRS	solves the system $Ax = b$ or $A^T x = b$
		using the factors computed by SGECO or SGEFA.
SGTSL	SGTSOL	given a general tridiagonal matrix and a right hand
		side will find the solution.
SPBCO	SPBTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite
	SPBCON	matrix stored in band form and estimates the condit
SPBDI		computes the determinant
		of a symmetric positive definite band matrix
		using the factors computed by SPBCO or SPBFA.
SPBFA	SPBTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite
		matrix stored in band form.
SPBSL	SPBTRS	solves the symmetric positive definite
		band system $Ax = b$
		using the factors computed by SPBCO or SPBFA.
SPOCO	SPOTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite
	SPOCON	matrix and estimates the condition of the matrix.
SPODI	SPOTRI	computes the determinant and inverse of a certain
		symmetric positive definite matrix
		using the factors computed by SPOCO, SPOFA or SQRDC

SPOFA	SPOTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite matrix.
SPOSL	SPOTRS	solves the symmetric positive definite system $Ax = b$ using the factors computed by SPOCO or S
SPPCO	SPPTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite
	SPPCON	matrix stored in packed form and estimates the condi
SPPDI	SPPTRI	computes the determinant and inverse of a symmetric positive definite matrix using the factors computed by SPPCO or SPPFA.
SPPFA	SPPTRF	factors a symmetric positive definite matrix stored in packed form.
SPPSL	SPPTRS	solves the symmetric positive definite system $Ax = b$ using the factors computed by SPPCO or S
SPTSL	SPTSOL	given a positive definite tridiagonal matrix and a ri hand side will find the solution.
SQRDC	SGEQRF	uses Householder transformations to compute the QR
	or	factorization of an n by p matrix X. column pivoting
	SGEQRP	based on the 2-norms of the reduced columns may be performed at the users option.
SQRSL	SGEQRS	applies the output of SQRDC to compute coordinate transformations, projections, and least squares sol
SSICO	SSYTRF	factors a symmetric matrix by elimination
	SSYCON	with symmetric pivoting and estimates the condition
SSIDI	SSYTRI	computes the determinant, inertia and inverse of a symmetric matrix using the factors from SSIFA.
SSIFA	SSYTRF	factors a symmetric matrix by elimination with symmetric pivoting.
SSISL	SSYTRS	solves the symmetric system $Ax = b$ using the factors computed by SSIFA.
SSPCO	SSPTRF	factors a symmetric matrix stored in
	SSPCON	packed form by elimination with symmetric pivoting a the condition of the matrix.
SSPDI	SSPTRI	computes the determinant, inertia and inverse of a symmetric matrix using the factors from SSPFA, where the matrix is stored in packed form.
SSPFA	SSPTRF	factors a symmetric matrix stored in packed form by elimination with symmetric pivoting.
SSPSL	SSPTRS	solves the symmetric system $Ax = b$ using the factors computed by SSPFA.
SSVDC	driver	is a subroutine to reduce a n by p matrix X by orthogonal transformations u and v to diagonal fo
STRCO	STRCON	estimates the condition of a triangular matrix.
STRDI	STRTRI	computes the determinant and inverse of a triangular matrix.
STRSL	STRTRS	solves systems of the form $Tx = b$ or $T^T x = b$ where T is a triangular matrix of order n.

- driver - means that these are driver routines. We are likely to p
  functionality.
- Note A- we plan to use orthogonal transformations throughout, not e
  formations.

References

[1]

[2]

[3]

[4]

[5]

[6]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[1 0]

[1 1]

[1 2]

[1 3]

[1 4]

[1 5]

[1 6]

[1 7]

[1 8]

[1 9]

[2 0]

[2 1]

[2 2]

[2 3]

[2 4]

LAPACK Working Notes:

LAPACK Working Note #1: J. Demmel and J. Dongarra and J. Du Croz and A. Green and S. Hammarling and D. Sorensen, *Prospectus for the Development of a Linear Algebra Library for High-Performance Computers,* Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-97, September, 1987.

LAPACK Working Note #2: J. Dongarra and S. Hammarling and D. Sorensen, *duction of Matrices to Condensed Forms for Eigenvalue Computations,* Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-99, September, 1987.

LAPACK Working Note #3: J. Demmel and W. Kahan, *Computing Small Singular Values of Bidiagonal Matrices with Guaranteed High Relative Accuracy,* Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-110, February, 1988.

LAPACK Working Note #4: J. Demmel, J. Du Croz, S. Hammarling and D. S *Guides for the Design of Symmetric Eigenroutines, SVD, and Iterative Refinement and Condition Estimation for Linear Systems,* Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-111, February, 1988.

LAPACK Working Note #5: C. Bischof, J. Demmel, J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, baum, S. Hammarling and D. Sorensen *Provisional Contents,* Argonne National La tory, ANL-88-38, September, 1988.

LAPACK Working Note #6: O. Brewer, J. Dongarra and D. Sorensen *Tools to Aid Analysis of Memory Access Patterns for Fortran Programs,* Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-MCS-TM-119, June, 1988.