[18] N. J. Higham, *A survey of condition number estimation for triangular matrices*, SIAM Rev. 29: 575-596(1987).

[19] N. J. Higham, *FORTRAN codes for estimating the one-norm of a real or complex matrix, with applications to condition estimation*, ACM TOMS, 14: 381-396(1988).

[20] A. Ruhe, *An algorithm for numerical determination of the structure of a general matrix*, BIT 10: 196-216, 1970.

[21] B. T. Smith, J. M. Boyle, Y. Ikebe, V. C. Klema and C. B. Moler, *Matrix Eigensystem Routines: EISPACK Guide*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.

[22] G. W. Stewart, *Error and perturbation bounds for subspaces associated with certain eigenvalue problems*, SIAM Rev. 15: 727-764(1973).

[23] G. W. Stewart, *Algorithm 506 HQR3 and EXCHANG: Fortran subroutine for calculating and ordering the eigenvalues of a real upper Hessenberg matrix [F2]*, ACM TOMS 2:275-280(1976).

[24] J. Sun, *Tha analysis of the matrix perturbation* (in chinese), Academic Press, Beijing, 1987.

[25] J. M. Varah, *On the separation of two matrices*, SIAM J.Numer.Anal. 16:216-222(1979).

[26] C. Van Loan, *On Estimating the condition of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors*, Lin. Alg. and Appl. 88/89: 715-732(1987).

[27] J. H. Wilkinson, *The algebraic eigenvalue problem*, Oxford U.P. Oxford, 1965

[28] J. H. Wilkinson, *Sensitivity of Eigenvalues*, Utilitas Math. 25:5-76(1984).

References

[1] Z. Bai and J. Demmel, *On a block implementation of Hessenberg multishift QR iteration*, *LAPACK* working notes # 8. 1989

[2] R. S. Bartels and G. W. Stewart, *Solution of the matrix equation* $AX + XB = C$, Comm. ACM 15:820-826(1972).

[3] F. Bauer and C. Fike, *Norms and Exclusion Theorems*, Num. Math. 2, pp. 137–141 (1960)

[4] A. Bjorck and G. H. Golub, *Numerical Methods for computing angles between linear subspaces*, Math.Comp. 27:579-594(1973).

[5] R. Byers, *A LINPACK-style condition estimator for the equation* $AX - XB^{\mathrm{T}} = C$, IERR TRANS. Automat. Control AC-29: 926-928(1984).

[6] S. P. Chan, R. Feldman and B. N. Parlett, *A program for computing the condition numbers of matrix eigenvalues without computing eigenvectors* ACM TOMS, 3: 186-203(1977).

[7] J. W. Demmel, *The condition number of equivalence transformations that block diagonalize matrix pencils*, SIAM J. Num. Anal. 20, no. 3, June 1983, pp 599–610

[8] J. W. Demmel, *Computing stable eigendecomposition of matrices*, Lin. Alg. and Appl. 79:163-193(1986).

[9] J. W. Demmel, *On condition numbers and the distance to the nearest ill-posed problem*, Numer. Math. 51: 251-289(1987).

[10] J. Dongarra, J. R. Bunch, C. B. Moler and G. W. Stewart, *LINPACK user's guide*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1979.

[11] G. Golub and J. W. Wilkinson, *Ill-conditioned eigensystem and computation of the Jordan canonical form*, SIAM Rev. 18: 578-619, 1976.

[12] G. Golub, S. Nash and C. Van Loan, *A Hessenberg- Schur Method for the Problem* $AX + XB = C$, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control AC-24: 909-913(1979).

[13] G. Golub and C. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations* (2nd Edition) , Johns Hopkins U.P. Baltimore, 1988

[14] W. W. Hager, *Condition estimates*, SIAM J.Sci.Stat. Comput. 5: 311-316(1984).

[15] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory of linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966

[16] W. Kahan, *Conserving confluence curbs ill-condition*, Computer Science Dept. Report, University of California, Berkeley 1972.

[17] K. C. Ng and B. N. Parlett, *Programs to swap diagonal blocks* (1988)

SLALN2 Solve a 2 by 2 linear equation.

SLAE2 Compute the eigenvalues of a 2 by 2 nonsymmetric matrix.

SLAEXC Swap adjacent diagonal 1 by 1 or 2 by 2 blocks of a Schur matrix.

SLASY2 Solve the Sylvester equation with coefficient matrices up to 2 by 2.

SLAEQU Equalize the diagonal elements of a 2 by 2 block with an orthogonal similarity.

Other *LAPACK* routines, auxiliary routines, functions called by eigensystem subroutines (except Level 1, 2 or 3 BLAS routines).

XERBLA, LSAME, R1MACH, ENVIR
SLACON, SLACPY, SLAZRO, SLARFG, SLARF, SLANHS, SLAPY2, SLAPY3

C List of *LAPACK* Routines for the Nonsymmetric Eigenproblem

LAPACK **main routines for the nonsymmetric eigenproblem:**

`SGEBAL` Balance an input general matrix and isolate eigenvalues whenever possible.

`SGEBAK` Form the eigenvectors for a general matrix by back transforming those of the corresponding balanced matrix determined by `SGEBAL`.

`SGEHRD` Reduce a general matrix to an upper Hessenberg matrix.

`SHSEQR` Compute the eigenvalues of an upper Hessenberg matrix by the multishift QR algorithm, and return the Schur form, accumulating the orthogonal matrix if desired.

`STREVC` Compute selected right and/or left eigenvectors of a Schur matrix.

`SHSEIN` Compute selected right and/or left eigenvectors of a Hessenberg matrix by inverse iteration.

`SORGC3` Overwrite a matrix containing Householder vectors stored in the strictly lower part by the orthogonal matrix they represent.

`STRSNA` Estimate selected reciprocal condition numbers of individual eigenpairs of Schur matrix.

`STRSEN` Estimate selected reciprocal condition numbers of a multiple (or cluster of) eigenvalues and their corresponding invariant subspace of a Schur matrix.

`STRSYL` Solve the Sylvester equation with coefficient matrices in Schur form.

`STREXC` Swap a selected diagonal 1 by 1 or 2 by 2 block of a Schur matrix to a specified position.

`STREX2` Collect several selected diagonal 1 by 1 or 2 by 2 blocks of a Schur matrix to the top-left or bottom right corner.

LAPACK **auxiliary routines for the nonsymmetric eigenproblem:**

`SLAHRD` Chase a k by k bulge of an upper Hessenberg matrix one block down from a specified column number.

`SLAHQR` BLAS 1 based QR routine to compute the eigenvalues of an upper Hessenberg matrix, and return the Schur form, accumulating the orthogonal matrix if desired.

`SLATRS` Mixed subroutine of BLAS 1 and BLAS 2 to solve triangular equations while avoiding overflow.

`SLAQTR` Solving real or complex quasi-triangular systems where the real part is quasi-triangular, and the imaginary part is of a special form.

Several comments should be made. First, the solution of the matrix equation $T_{11}X - XT_{22} = sT_{12}$ has been discussed in detail in Appendix A, the routine `SLASY2`. Second, there is no danger in working with X of large norm *provided* that $\|X\|^2$ does not overflow. Moreover if $\|X\|^2$ does overflow then the blocks should not be swapped because a tiny perturbation will cause T_{11} and T_{22} to have at least one common eigenvalue[9]. Hence in step 2, we check the norm of X, and if X satisfies

$$\frac{s \cdot \max(\|T_{11}\|, \|T_{22}\|)}{\|X\| + s} < \epsilon,$$

where ϵ is the machine precision, then the two blocks are regarded as too close to swap.

where $\tilde{T}_{ii}$ is similar to T_{ii}, $i = 1, 2$.

Since T_{11} and T_{22} have no eigenvalue in common, it follows that there exists a unique $p \times q$ matrix X such that

$$T_{11}X - XT_{22} = T_{12}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_p & -X \\ 0 & I_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_p & X \\ 0 & I_q \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -X & I_p \\ I_q & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_q \\ I_p & X \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

We see that it is easy to find an orthogonal $(p+q) \times (p+q)$ matrix Q such that

$$Q \begin{pmatrix} -X \\ I_q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.13}$$

with some invertible $q \times q$ M_2, e.g., using Householder matrices to do the QR decomposition.

Let Q premultiply and postmultiply the original matrix, yielding

$$\begin{aligned}
Q \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ & T_{22} \end{pmatrix} Q^{\mathrm{T}} &= Q \begin{pmatrix} -X & I_p \\ I_q & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_q \\ I_p & X \end{pmatrix} Q^{\mathrm{T}} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & W \\ 0 & M_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & W \\ 0 & M_1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & W \\ 0 & M_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_2^{-1} & -M_2^{-1} W M_1^{-1} \\ 0 & M_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} M_2 T_{22} M_2^{-1} & T'_{12} \\ & M_1 T_{11} M_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

T_{11} and T_{22} have been swapped.

The above considerations are summed up in the following steps.

1. Solve $T_{11}X - XT_{22} = sT_{12}$. s is a scale factor introduced to avoid overflow.

2. Check if the magnitude of $\|X\|$ exceeds the square root of the overflow threshold. In this case T_{11} and T_{22} are too close to swap, so we exit.

3. Use a Householder matrix Q to do the QR decomposition of $(X \quad I)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and update T by Q: QTQ^{T},

4. Accumulate the orthogonal transformations if desired.

5. To preserve the standard Schur form, make the diagonal elements equal in each 2 by 2 block using orthogonal transformations.

6. Accumulate the orthogonal transformations if desired.

factor (≤ 1) which is so chosen that Y can be computed without overflow (see SLALN2 and SLASY2). In particular, if A_{ii} and B_{jj} have the same eigenvalues, s_1 is set to 0.

Similarly, if $\mathrm{op}(A) = A^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{op}(B) = B$, the ijth block X_{ij} of the solution X can be successively solved column by column starting from top-left corner of X, i.e., in order X_{11}, X_{21}, ..., X_{p1}, X_{12}, ..., X_{pq}.

If $\mathrm{op}(A) = A$ and $\mathrm{op}(B) = B^{\mathrm{T}}$, the ijth block X_{ij} of the solution X can be successively solved column by column starting from bottom-right corner of X, i.e., in order X_{pq}, $X_{p-1,q}$, ..., X_{1q}, $X_{p,q-1}$, ..., X_{11}.

If $\mathrm{op}(A) = A^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{op}(B) = B^{\mathrm{T}}$, the ijth block X_{ij} of the solution X can be successively solved column by column starting from top-right corner of X, i.e., in order X_{1q}, X_{2q}, ..., X_{pq}, $X_{1,q-1}$, ..., X_{p1}.

Using the above different substitution orderings enables one to work on the input matrices directly rather than to transpose the input matrices.

The overall number of flops for the above substitution solution is

$$2.5(m^2n + mn^2)$$

where we have assumed that A and B are already in Schur form.

The program may be used to iteratively refine of the computed solution X_1 of (A.10): let the residual matrix $R_1 = C - AX_1 + X_1B$ be computed in double precision and rerounded to single precision. Use the same program to solve the system $AX_2 - X_2B = R_1$. Then $X_1 + X_2$ will in general be a more accurate approximation. This process may be iterated. This iteration is analogous to the iterative refinement of approximate solutions of linear system as described by Wilkinson[27, p. 255]. (This is not done in STRSEN and STRSNA.)

B Swapping Diagonal Blocks

The crux of swapping a selected block of a real Schur form to a specified position along the diagonal (subroutine STREXC), or collecting selected blocks together (subroutine STREX2) is the swapping of adjacent blocks by an orthogonal similarity transformation (subroutine SLAEXC). Stewart[23] developed an adjacent block swapping algorithm using one or two QR steps with a pre-determined shift to force the ordering of the eigenvalues of the new blocks. More recently, Ng and Parlett[17] present a more straightforward algorithm for the same task. The presentation in this appendix is based on Ng and Parlett's work. We discuss in more detail the treatment of pathological cases.

Consider a submatrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where T_{11} is a p by p matrix, and T_{22} is a q by q matrix, $p, q = 1$ or 2, and assume that T_{11} and T_{22} have no eigenvalue in common. Moreover, we assume that if either is a 2 by 2 matrix, it has been standardized (i.e., it has identical diagonal entries.) Now, we want to find an orthogonal matrix Q which swaps T_{11} and T_{22}, i.e.,

$$Q \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix} Q^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{T}_{22} & \tilde{T}_{12} \\ 0 & \tilde{T}_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

A Solution of the Sylvester Equation

Considerable attention in the literature has been paid to solving the Sylvester equation. Among proposed solutions, Bartels and Stewart's method[2], and Golub, Nash and Van Loan's method[12] are direct matrix factorization methods. In this appendix, we discuss the method originally presented by Bartels and Stewart, and the associated routine `STRSYL`.

The Sylvester matrix equation is of the form

$$\mathrm{op}(A)X - X\mathrm{op}(B) = sC \tag{A.10}$$

where A , B and C are real $m \times m$, $n \times n$ and $m \times n$ matrices respectively. $\mathrm{op}(A) = A$ or A^{T} is a transpose option. s is a scaling factor (≤ 1) which is so chosen so that X can be computed without overflow. We will also suppose that A and B are in upper quasi-triangular form, otherwise we should compute the Schur decomposition of A and B (by `SGEHRD` and `SHSEQR`),

$$U^{\mathrm{T}}AU = R, \quad V^{\mathrm{T}}BV = S \tag{A.11}$$

where R and S are upper quasi-triangular, and U and V are orthogonal. The reductions (A.11) lead to the system (A.10).

It is well known that (A.10) has a unique solution if and only if there are no common eigenvalues of A and B.

Let p be the number of 1 by 1 and 2 by 2 blocks along the diagonal of A, and let q be the number of the 1 by 1 and 2 by 2 blocks along the diagonal of B. Partition the A, B, X and C conformally. If $\mathrm{op}(A) = A$ and $\mathrm{op}(B) = B$ then the ijth block X_{ij} satisfies

$$A_{ii}X_{ij} - X_{ij}B_{jj} = s_1(C_{ij} - C'_{ij}) \tag{A.12}$$

where

$$C'_{ij} = \sum_{k=i+1}^{p} A_{ik}X_{kj} - \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} X_{il}B_{lj}$$

Note that since A_{ii} and B_{jj} are each 1 by 1 or 2 by 2, the solution of (3) can be obtained by solving a linear system of order at most four. That can be solved easily. Once calculated, the solution X_{ij} can be stored in the locations occupied by C_{ij}, which is no longer needed. The solution matrix X can be successively solved column by column starting from bottom-left corner of X, i.e., in order X_{p1}, $X_{p-1,1}$, ..., X_{11}, X_{p2}, ..., X_{1q}.

For solving equation (A.12), we note that if A_{ii} and/or B_{jj} are balanced 2 by 2 blocks, i.e., they are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

then $\alpha \pm \mu$ are the eigenvalues where $\beta\gamma = -\mu^2$. Equation (A.12) can then be expressed as a 2 by 2 linear system

$$(H - w)Y = s_1 F$$

Here H is an na by na real matrix ($na = 1, 2$), w is real or complex, Y and F are na by 1 matrices which are real if w is real and complex if w is complex, and s_1 is a local scaling

the projector P on the invariant subspace associated with T_{11}. It is known that

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I & -R \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where R is the solution of the Sylvester equation

$$T_{11}R - RT_{22} = T_{12}.$$

This is done by subroutine `STRSYL` . The program tests to avoid overflow if $\|R\|$ is very large, returning zero as the reciprocal condition number.

The return value `S` of `STRSEN` is the lower bound $(1 + \|R\|_F^2)^{-1/2}$ on the reciprocal of $\|P\|_2$. It cannot underestimate $\|P\|_2^{-1}$ by more than a factor of $n^{1/2}$.

Finally, `STRSEN` estimates the separation of T_{11} and T_{22}. We know that this can be estimated by the one-norm of

$$K^{-1} = (I_{n_2} \otimes T_{11} - T_{22} \otimes I_{n_1})^{-1}, \quad n = n_1 + n_2$$

because of the relationship

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1 n_2}}\|K^{-1}\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{\mathrm{sep}(T_{11}, T_{22})} = \|K^{-1}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n_1 n_2}\|K^{-1}\|_1.$$

This is done by calling `SLACON` via a reverse communication interface, providing the solution vectors x and y of the equations:

$$Kx = z, \quad K^{\mathrm{T}}y = z$$

where z is determined by `SLACON`. This means we must solve the Sylvester equations:

$$T_{11}X - XT_{22} = Z \quad T_{11}^{\mathrm{T}}Y - YT_{22}^{\mathrm{T}} = Z$$

This is again is done by the subroutine `STRSYL`.

The return value `SEP` of `STRSEN` is the estimated (upper bound) of $\mathrm{sep}(T_{11}, T_{22})$.

Swapping adjacent diagonal blocks on the diagonal of the input matrix costs $O(n)$, so swapping n_1 selected eigenvalues to the top left corner costs at most $O(n_1 n^2)$ (and as little as nothing if they are already at the top left corner). Once the desired eigenvalues are at the top left, solving either above Sylvester equation costs $O(n_1^2 n_2 + n_1 n_2^2)$ operations. Therefore the condition number estimation of a cluster of eigenvalues and their corresponding invariant subspace costs at most $O(n^3)$ operations, or as few as $O(n^2)$ if $n_1 \ll n_2$.

```
      CALL SGEHRD('H', N, A, LDA, DUM, DUM, WR, WORK, LDWORK,
     $              MAXN, INFO)
      CALL XENVIR('SHIFT', NSHIFT)
      CALL XENVIR('BLOCK', NBLCK2)
      CALL SHSEQR('S', N, A, LDA, DUM, DUM, WR, WI, U, LDU,
     $              MAXN, WORK, LDWORK, MAXN, INFO)
      DO 20 I = 1, N
         WRITE(*,*) WR(I),WI(I)
20    CONTINUE
*
*     Input the SELECT to specify the eigenvalues to be collected
*     together, then the condition numbers of the corresponding
*     invariant subspace will be estimated.
*
      DO 30 I = 1,N
         READ(*,*) SELECT(I)
30    CONTINUE
*
      CALL STRSEN(SELECT, N, A, LDA, S, SEP, WORK, LDWORK,
     $             ISGN, X, V, INFO)
*
*     Print output
*
      WRITE(*,*) S,SEP
      STOP
      END
```

8.3 Outline of the Algorithm

STRSEN routine estimates the reciprocal condition numbers of specified multiple (or clustered) eigenvalues and their corresponding invariant subspace for a real Schur canonical matrix T.

Logical array SELECT specifies the 1 by 1 (for real eigenvalues) or 2 by 2 (for complex conjugate eigenvalues) diagonal blocks that are to be collected together to form the desired cluster. Note that for 2 by 2 diagonal blocks, the first index of SELECT must be set to .TRUE. if the block to be collected. For real matrices, complex conjugate eigenpairs will both be selected if one is selected.

STRSEN first calls subroutine STREX2 to collect the selected diagonal blocks by orthogonal transformation to the top-left corner of T such that

$$QTQ^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the selected blocks have been collected in n_1 by n_1 matrix T_{11}. Then STRSEN computes

Complex. The calling sequence of the single precision complex routine is essentially the same as STRSNA, except that the T, RE, WORK, X, V arrays are complex. Integer array ISGN is not needed.

Double precision complex. The calling sequence of the double precision complex routine ZTRSEN is the same as that of the corresponding single precision complex "C" subroutine except that all the real variables are double precision and all the complex variables are double precision complex.

8.2 Example

The following program segment illustrates the use of the single precision subroutine to estimate the reciprocal condition numbers of a specified cluster of eigenvalues and its corresponding invariant subspace for a general matrix. The program first reduces a general matrix to upper Hessenberg form by SGEHRD, and then computes the Schur decomposition by the multishift QR algorithm (SHSEQR). After that the user should input the logical array SELECT to specify the eigenvalues in the cluster. Then STRSEN is called to estimate the reciprocal condition numbers.

```
      PROGRAM   TEST
      INTEGER   ISGN(50)
      REAL      A(50,50), WR(50), WI(50)
      REAL      X(100), V(100), U(50,150), WORK(50,150)
      LOGICAL   SELECT(50)
      INTEGER   N, LDA, LDWORK, M, I, J, MAXN, INFO
      INTEGER   NBLCK1, NSHIFT, NBLCK2
      REAL      S, SEP, DUMMY
      PARAMETER (LDA = 50, LDU = 50, LDWORK = 50, MAXN = 150)
*
*     Input data:
*       N: the order of matrix A.
*       A: N by N array to store the input matrix.
*       NBLCK1: the blocksize used in Hessenberg reduction.
*       NSHIFT: the number of shifts used in the multishift QR algorithm.
*       NBLCK2: the blocksize used in bulge chasing in QR iteration.
*
      READ(*,*) N
      DO 10 I = 1,N
         READ(*,*) (A(I,J),J = 1,N)
10    CONTINUE
      READ(*,*) NBLCK1
      READ(*,*) NSHIFT
      READ(*,*) NBLCK2
*
*     Compute Schur decomposition.
*
      CALL XENVIR('BLOCK', NBLCK1)
```

```
*  S       - REAL
*            On exit, S is a lower bound on the reciprocal of the
*            condition number for the selected cluster of eigenvalues.
*            S cannot underestimate the true reciprocal condition
*            number by more than a factor of sqrt(N).
*
*  SEP     - REAL
*            On exit, SEP is the estimated reciprocal of the condition
*            number of the corresponding invariant subspace.
*
*  WORK    - REAL                 array of DIMENSION(LDWORK, N2)
*            Workspace, where N2 is the number of eigenvalues in the
*            cluster, counting multiplicities.
*
*  LDWORK - INTEGER
*            On entry, LDWORK specifies the first dimension of WORK as
*            declared in the calling (sub)program. LDWORK must be at
*            least max(1, N-N2).
*            Not modified.
*
*  NWORK   - INTEGER
*            On entry, NWORK specifies the largest possible columns of
*            working array U. NWORK should be larger than N2.
*            Not modified.
*
*  X       - REAL                 array of DIMENSION(N1*N2)
*            Workspace
*
*  V       - REAL                 array of DIMENSION(N1*N2)
*            Workspace
*
*  ISGN    - INTEGER              array of DIMENSION(N1*N2)
*            Workspace
*
*  INFO    - INTEGER
*            On exit, INFO is set to
*               0        normal return.
*              -K        input parameter number K is illegal.
*             N+1        there are not enough columns for working
*                        array WORK.
*
```

Double precision. The calling sequence of the double precision routine `DTRSEN` is the same as that of the corresponding single precision "`S`" subroutine except that all the real variables are double precision.

8.1 Usage

Single precision

```
       CALL STRSEN(SELECT,N,T,LDT,S,SEP,WORK,LDWORK,NWORK,
     $             X,V,ISGN,INFO)
*
*      .. Scalar Arguments ..
       INTEGER          N, LDT, LDWORK, NWORK, INFO
       REAL             S, SEP
*
*      .. Array Arguments ..
       LOGICAL          SELECT(*)
       INTEGER          ISGN(*)
       REAL             T(LDT,*), WORK(LDWORK,*), X(*), V(*)
*
*  Arguments
*  =========
*
*  SELECT - LOGICAL             array if DIMENSION (N)
*           On entry, SELECT specifies the 1 by 1 or 2 by 2 diagonal
*           blocks in the eigenvalue cluster. For 2 by 2 blocks,
*           the first index of SELECT must be set to .TRUE. if the
*           block to be collected. Complex conjugate eigenvalues will
*           either both be inside the cluster, or both outside.
*           On exit, SELECT may have been altered. If the elements of
*           SELECT corresponding to a 2 by 2 block were each initially
*           set to .TRUE., the program resets the second one to .FALSE..
*
*  N      - INTEGER
*           On entry, N specifies the order of the matrix T. N must be
*           at least zero.
*           Not modified.
*
*  T      - REAL                array of DIMENSION(LDT,N)
*           On entry, T contains an upper quasi-triangular matrix in
*           Schur canonical form. This means that the diagonal entries
*           of 2 by 2 diagonal blocks must be equal.
*           Not modified.
*
*  LDT    - INTEGER
*           On entry, LDT specifies the first dimension of T as
*           declared in the calling (sub)program. LDT must be at
*           least max(1, N).
*           Not modified.
*
```

Estimating the one-norm of $\|K^{-1}\|_1$ can been done by calling `SLACON` via a reverse communication interface. This means one must provide the solution vectors x and y of the quasi-triangular systems:

$$Kx = z, \quad K^{\mathrm{T}}y = z$$

where z is determined by `SLACON`. This is the function of the subroutine `SLAQTR`. Note that K is a complex matrix if λ is a complex eigenvalue, but it is of the form

$$K = C + iD$$

where the real part C is a real upper quasi-triangular matrix, and the imaginary part is

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & \dots & x \\ & x & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we can easily solve the complex systems

$$(C + iD)(p + iq) = (e + if), \quad (C + iD)^{\mathrm{H}}(g + ih) = (e + if)$$

in real arithmetic, and use $2(n-1)$ length vectors $x = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ h \end{pmatrix}$ as the input vectors of `SLACON`. We also use the fact that for any complex matrix $C + iD$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\| \begin{pmatrix} C & -D \\ D & C \end{pmatrix} \|_1 \leq \|C + iD\|_1 \leq \| \begin{pmatrix} C & -D \\ D & C \end{pmatrix} \|_1.$$

The cost of the algorithm depends on the location of the selected eigenvalues along the diagonal of the input matrix. Swapping adjacent diagonal blocks costs $O(n)$, so moving an eigenvalue at diagonal position k to the upper left costs $O(kn)$ operations. Since it requires about $O(n^2)$ to solve a quasi-triangular system, estimating the condition number of an eigenvector costs $O(n^2)$ operations once the eigenvalue is in the upper left corner. Therefore the total cost is $O(n^2)$ per eigenvector condition number.

The variable `SEP(*)` contains the estimated reciprocal condition numbers of the selected eigenvectors.

8 `STRSEN` Estimating the Condition of a Cluster of Eigenvalues

In this section, we first show the usage of *LAPACK* subroutine `STRSEN` for estimating the reciprocal condition number of a specified multiple (or clustered) eigenvalue and its corresponding invariant subspace, and then outline the algorithm.

```
      STOP
      END
```

7.3 Outline of the Algorithm

The `STRSNA` routine is designed to estimate the reciprocals of condition numbers of the selected eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of a Schur canonical matrix T.

Logical arrary `SELECT` specifies the condition numbers to be estimated. The arrays `RE` and `LE` are used in `STREVC` to compactly store the selected right and left eigenvectors respectively. Then `RE` and `LE` are used in `STRSNA` to compute the reciprocal condition number for individual eigenvalues:

$$s(\lambda) = \frac{|r^{\mathrm{H}} l|}{\|r\|_2 \, \|l\|_2}$$

where r and l are the right and left eigenvectors of T corresponding to the eigenvalue λ. Note that for complex eigenvalues, the next two columns of `RE` (`LE`) store the real and imaginary parts of the right (left) eigenvectors, respectively. We see that computing the reciprocals of condition number of an eigenvalue costs $O(n)$ operations.

Variable `S(*)` contains the reciprocals of condition numbers of the selected eigenvalues.

For estimating the reciprocals of condition numbers of the associated right eigenvectors, `STRSNA` first calls subroutine `STREXC` to swap the diagonal blocks of matrix T by orthogonal transformation to the form:

$$QTQ^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the n_1 by n_1 matrix T_{11} is 1 by 1 or 2 by 2 depending on whether the eigenvalue is real or complex. If T_{11} is a 1 by 1 block λ, we have

$$\mathrm{sep}(\lambda) = \min_{\|x\|_2=1} \|(\lambda I - T_{22})x\|_2$$

If T_{11} is a 2 by 2 block, then we use a unitary rotation to triangularize the 2 by 2 block to get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & t_{12} \\ 0 & \tilde{T}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

whence

$$\mathrm{sep}(\lambda) = \min_{\|x\|_2=1} \|(\lambda - \tilde{T}_{22})x\|_2.$$

In both cases sep can be estimated by estimating the one-norm of

$$K^{-1} = (T' - \lambda)^{-1}$$

because of the relationship

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\|K^{-1}\|_1 \le \frac{1}{\mathrm{sep}(\lambda)} = \|K^{-1}\|_2 \le \sqrt{n-1}\|K^{-1}\|_1$$

```
*         NBLCK2: the blocksize used in bulge chasing in QR iteration.
*
      READ(*,*) N
      DO 10 I = 1,N
         READ(*,*) (A(I,J),J = 1,N)
10    CONTINUE
      READ(*,*) NBLCK1
      READ(*,*) NSHIFT
      READ(*,*) NBLCK2
*
*     Compute Schur decomposition.
*
      CALL XENVIR('BLOCK',NBLCK1)
      CALL SGEHRD('H', N, A, LDA, DUMMY, DUMMY, WR, WORK, LDWORK,
     $              MAXN, INFO)
      CALL XENVIR('SHIFT', NSHIFT)
      CALL XENVIR('BLOCK', NBLCK2)
      CALL SHSEQR('S', N, A, LDA, DUMMY, DUMMY, WR, WI, U, LDU,
     $              MAXN, WORK, LDWORK, MAXN, INFO)
      DO 20 I = 1, N
         WRITE(*,*) WR(I),WI(I)
20    CONTINUE
*
*     Input logical array SELECT to specify the eigenpairs whose
*     condition numbers will be estimated.
*
      DO 30 I = 1,N
         READ(*,*) SELECT(I)
30    CONTINUE
*
*     Compute the selected eigenvectors
*
      CALL STREVC('B', SELECT, N, A, LDA, RE, LDRE, LE, LDLE,
     $              N, M, RWORK, INFO)
*
*     Estimate the selected condition numbers of eigenpairs
*
      CALL STRSNA(SELECT, N, A, LDA, RE, LDRE, LE, LDLE, S, SEP,
     $             N, M, WORK, LDWORK, X, V, B, ISGN, INFO)
*
*     Print output
*
      DO 40 I = 1,M
         WRITE(*,*) S(I),SEP(I)
40    CONTINUE
```

```
*  INFO   - INTEGER
*           On exit, INFO is set to
*                0      for normal return,
*               -K      input argument number K is illegal.
*               N+1     the assigned length of S and SEP too small.
*
```

Double precision. The calling sequence of the double precision routine DTRSNA is the same as that of the corresponding single precision "S" subroutine except that all the real variables are double precision.

Complex. The calling sequence of the single precision complex is essentially the same as STRSNA, except that the T, RE, WORK, X, V arrays are complex, and the integer array ISGN is real.

Double precision complex. The calling sequence of the double precision complex routine ZTRSNA is the same as that of the corresponding single precision "C" subroutine except that all the real variables are double precision and all the complex variables are double precision complex.

7.2 Example

The following program segment illustrates the use of the single precision subroutine to estimate selected reciprocal condition numbers of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a general matrix. The program first reduces a general matrix to upper Hessenberg form by SGEHRD, and then computes the Schur decomposition by the multishift QR iteration (SHSEQR). After that the user should input the logical array SELECT to specify the eigenpairs whose condition numbers will be estimated, and STREVC is called to compute the selected right and left eigenvectors and compactly store them in array RE and LE. Finally STRSNA is called to return the desired reciprocal condition numbers.

```
      PROGRAM   TEST
      INTEGER   ISGN(100)
      LOGICAL   SELECT(50)
      REAL      A(50,50), WR(50), WI(50), RE(50,50), LE(50,50)
      REAL      S(50), SEP(50), RWORK(50)
      REAL   B(50), X(100), V(100), WORK(50,150), U(50,150)
      INTEGER   N, LDA, LDRE, LDLE, LDWORK, M, I, J, MAXN, INFO
      INTEGER   NBLCK1, NSHIFT, NBLCK2
      REAL      DUMMY
      PARAMETER (LDA = 50, LDRE = 50, LDLE = 50, LDU = 50)
      PARAMETER (LDWORK = 50, MAXN = 150)
*
*     Input data:
*       N: the order of matrix A.
*       A: N by N array to store the input matrix.
*       NBLCK1: the blocksize used in Hessenberg reduction.
*       NSHIFT: the number of shifts used in multishift QR algorithm.
```

```
*           On exit, S contains the reciprocals of the condition
*           numbers of the selected eigenvalues. If the Jth and
*           (J+1)st eigenpairs are complex conjugate, then both
*           S(J) and S(J+1) will be set (and equal).
*
*  SEP    - REAL                array of DIMENSION(MM).
*           On exit, SEP contains the estimated reciprocals of the
*           condition numbers of the selected right eigenvectors.
*           If the Jth and (J+1)st eigenpairs are complex conjugate,
*           then both SEP(J) and SEP(J+1) will be set (and equal).
*
*  MM     - INTEGER
*           On entry, MM should be set to an upper bound for the
*           length of arrays S(*) and SEP(*) required to store the
*           reciprocal condition numbers to be estimated. Note that
*           for a complex conjugate eigenpair, we need two locations
*           for S and SEP. This means S(J), SEP(J), RE(J), and LE(J)
*           correspond to the same eigenvalue for all J.
*           Not modified.
*
*  M      - INTEGER
*           On exit, M is the size of arrays S(*) and SEP(*) actually
*           used to store condition numbers.
*
*  WORK   - REAL                array of DIMENSION(LDWORK,N)
*           Workspace.
*
*  LDWORK - INTEGER
*           On entry, LDWORK specifies the leading dimension of WORK
*           as declared in the calling (sub)program. LDWORK must be
*           at least max(1, N).
*           Not modified.
*
*  X      - REAL                array of DIMENSION(2*(N-1)).
*           Workspace.
*
*  V      - REAL                array of DIMENSION(2*(N-1)).
*           Workspace.
*
*  B      - REAL                array of DIMENSION(N)
*           Workspace.
*
*  ISGN   - INTEGER             array of DIMENSION(2*(N-1))
*           Workspace.
*
```

```
*           Not modified.
*
*  T      - REAL array of DIMENSION (LDT,N).
*           On entry, T contains an upper quasi-triangular matrix in
*           Schur canonical form. This means that the diagonal entries
*           of 2 by 2 diagonal blocks must be equal.
*           Not modified.
*
*  LDT    - INTEGER
*           On entry, LDT specifies the first dimension of T as
*           declared in the calling (sub)program. LDT must be at
*           least max(1, N).
*           Not modified.
*
*  RE     - REAL                array of DIMENSION (LDRE,MM).
*           On entry, RE contains the real and imaginary parts of the
*           selected right eigenvectors computed by STREVC or SHSEIN.
*           If the next selected eigenvalue is real, the next column
*           of RE contains its eigenvector. If the next selected
*           eigenvalue is complex, the next two columns of RE contain
*           the real and imaginary parts of its eigenvector.
*           Not modified.
*
*  LDRE   - INTEGER
*           On entry, LDRE specifies the leading dimension of RE as
*           declared in the calling (sub)program. LDRE must be at
*           least max(1, N).
*           Not modified.
*
*  LE     - REAL                array of DIMENSION (LDLE,MM).
*           On entry, LE contains the real and imaginary parts of the
*           selected left eigenvectors computed by STREVC or SHSEIN.
*           If the next selected eigenvalue is real, the next column
*           of RE contains its eigenvector. If the next selected
*           eigenvalue is complex, the next two columns of LE contain
*           the real and imaginary parts of its eigenvector.
*           Not modified.
*
*  LDLE   - INTEGER
*           On entry, LDLE specifies the leading dimension of LE as
*           declared in the calling (sub)program. LDLE must be at
*           least max(1, N).
*           Not modified.
*
*  S      - REAL                array of DIMENSION(MM).
```

The condition number $\kappa(V)$ of V satisfies $\max_i \|P_i\|_2 \leq \kappa(V) \leq b \cdot \max_i \|P_i\|_2$, where P_i is the projector corresponding to eigenvalues of the i-th diagonal block of B.

In summary, we see that all the condition numbers for the nonsymmetric eigenproblem depend on the quantities $\|P\|_2$ and sep. The use *LAPACK* subroutines `STRSNA` and `STRSEN` to estimate these quantities is discussed in the following sections.

7 STRSNA – Estimating the Condition of Individual Eigenpairs

In this section, we show how to use *LAPACK* subroutine `STRSNA` for estimating condition numbers of selected eigenvalues and eigenvectors. We also describe the algorithms used. The variable `SEP` corresponds to the sep of the preceding sections, and the variable `S` corresponds to $1/\|P\|_2$. We return $1/\|P\|_2$ instead of $\|P\|_2$ since $1/\|P\|_2$ is between zero and one, thus avoiding overflow problems for very ill-conditioned eigenvalues.

7.1 Usage

Single precision.

```
        CALL STRSNA(SELECT,N,T,LDT,RE,LDRE,LE,LDLE,S,SEP,
     $              MM,M,WORK,LDWORK,X,V,B,ISGN,INFO)
*
*       .. Scalar Arguments ..
        INTEGER N,LDT,LDRE,LDLE,MM,M,LDWORK,INFO
*
*       .. Array Arguments ..
        LOGICAL          SELECT(*)
        INTEGER          ISGN(*)
        REAL             S(*), SEP(*)
        REAL             T(LDT,*), RE(LDRE,*), LE(LDLE,*)
        REAL             WORK(LDWORK,*), X(*), V(*), B(*)
*
*  Arguments
*  =========
*
*  SELECT - LOGICAL     array if DIMENSION (N).
*           On entry, SELECT specifies the eigenpair whose
*           condition numbers are to be estimated. The condition
*           number corresponding to the J-th eigenpair is specified
*           by setting SELECT(J) to .TRUE..
*           Not modified.
*
*  N      - INTEGER
*           On entry, N specifies the order of the matrix T. N must
*           be at least zero.
```

Also

$$\max_i \|P_i\|_2 \leq \kappa(V) \leq b \cdot \max_i \|P_i\|_2$$

In other words choosing the columns of V_i which span $\mathcal{R}_i$ to be orthonormal nearly minimizes $\kappa(V)$ over all block-diagonalizing similarities which reduce A to diagonal blocks with the same eigenvalues in each block.

6 Summary: Perturbation Table

For convenience, the bounds presented in the preceding sections are summarized in the following table. The notation is as follows. We assume the matrix A is in Schur canonical form (2.1). P denotes the spectral projector associated with with eigenvalue(s) of A_{11} defined in (2.2). sep will be shorthand for $\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22})$, defined in (2.5). λ will denote the unperturbed eigenvalue if A_{11} is 1 by 1, and if A_{11} is larger $\bar{\lambda}$ will denote the unperturbed mean of its eigenvalues. λ' and $\bar{\lambda}'$ will denote the perturbed values of λ and $\bar{\lambda}$, respectively, for $A + E$. $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the unperturbed right invariant subspace corresponding to A_{11}, and $\mathcal{R}'$ denotes its perturbed counterpart of $A + E$. θ will denote $\theta_{\max}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}')$, the angular perturbation of the right invariant subspace as defined in (5.8) or (5.9). ϵ_2 will denote $\|E\|_2$ and ϵ_F will denote $\|E\|_F$, norms of the perturbation E. In the table, each asymptotic bound has a $+O(\epsilon^2)$ term which is not written. Superscripts in parentheses on each bound indicate which Bound in the body of text they are. The superscript $\dagger$ indicates that the bound applies only if $\epsilon_F < \mathrm{sep}/(4\|P\|_2)$ (Bound 1).

	Asymptotic Bounds	Global Bounds
Simple eigenvalue	$\lvert\lambda - \lambda'\rvert \leq \epsilon_2 \|P\|_2$ (2)	$\lvert\lambda - \lambda'\rvert \leq n\epsilon_2 \|P\|_2$ (3)
Eigenvalue Cluster	$\lvert\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}'\rvert \leq \epsilon_2 \|P\|_2$ (4)	$\lvert\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}'\rvert \leq 2\epsilon_2 \|P\|_2^{\dagger}$ (5)
Invariant subspace	$\theta \leq \frac{2\epsilon_F}{\mathrm{sep}}$ (6)	$\theta \leq \arctan\left(\frac{2\epsilon_F}{\mathrm{sep} - 4\|P\|_2\epsilon_F}\right)^{\dagger}$ (7)

In addition, Bound 8 says that the nearly best conditioned matrix V such that $V^{-1}AV$ is diagonal has as its columns the eigenvectors of A all with norm equal to 1. The condition number $\kappa(V)$ of this V satisfies $\max_i \|P_i\|_2 \leq \kappa(V) \geq n \cdot \max_i \|P_i\|_2$, where P_i is the projector corresponding to eigenvalue λ_i.

Bound 9 describes a nearly best conditioned matrix V such that $V^{-1}AV = B$ is block diagonal, such that the b diagonal blocks of B have specified eigenvalues. This nearly best V may be written $V = [V_1, \ldots V_b]$ where V_i is any orthonormal basis of the right invariant subspace of A corresponding to the eigenvalues in the i-th diagonal block of B.

$\|P\|_2$ may be replaced by $\|P\|'$ of equation (2.4) to obtain a slightly weaker bound.

Bounds 6 and 7 imply that sep is the reciprocal of the condition number for eigenvectors and invariant subspaces. Routines `STRSNA` and `STRSEN` compute sep for individual eigenvectors and a given invariant subspace, respectively.

5.3 (Block)diagonalizing a Matrix with a Similarity

Occasionally one wishes to find a matrix V which diagonalizes A: $V^{-1}AV = \Lambda$, where Λ is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues on the diagonal. This may be useful for computing functions of matrices. For example, to exponentiate a matrix one may use the identity $\exp(A) = V\exp(\Lambda)V^{-1} = Vdiag(e^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_n})V^{-1}$. The accuracy of such an algorithm depends on the condition number $\kappa(V)$ of V. The columns of V must be eigenvectors of A, but their norms are arbitrary; we would like to choose these norms to minimize $\kappa(V)$. The next bound gives a nearly optimal choice of the norms of the columns of V, and bounds the resulting $\kappa(V)$.

Bound 8: [7] *Suppose A has distinct eigenvalues λ_i with corresponding right eigenvectors v_i, where we assume $\|v_i\|_2 = 1$, and projectors P_i. Let $V = [v_1, \ldots, v_n]$ be the matrix of these eigenvectors. Let $V' = [\alpha_1 v_1, \ldots, \alpha_n v_n]$ be another matrix where the α_i are arbitrary nonzero scalars. Then*

$$\max_i \|P_i\|_2 \leq \kappa(V')$$

Also

$$\max_i \|P_i\|_2 \leq \kappa(V) \leq n \cdot \max_i \|P_i\|_2$$

In other words choosing the columns of V to have norm 1 nearly minimizes $\kappa(V)$ over all matrices whose columns are eigenvectors.

A variation on diagonalization is block-diagonalization, where we ask only that $V^{-1}AV = B$ be block diagonal, where the diagonal blocks B_{ii} of B have specified eigenvalues (which are all disjoint subsets of the eigenvalues of A). Suppose B_{ii} is located in rows and columns j through k of B. Then columns j through k of V must span the right invariant subspace of A corresponding to the eigenvalues of B. Let V_i denote these columns of V. Just as we could choose the norm of each column of V when we wanted to diagonalize A, here we have the freedom to choose V_i to be any basis of the right invariant subspace we like. Again, we would like to choose the basis which minimizes $\kappa(V)$. The next bound says how to do this.

Bound 9: [7] *Let the set $\lambda(A)$ of eigenvalues of A be written as a disjoint union $\cup_{i=1}^{b} S_i$ of b sets of eigenvalues S_i. Let n_i be the number of eigenvalues in S_i, counting multiplicities. Let P_i be the projector corresponding to S_i, and $\mathcal{R}_i$ the corresponding right invariant subspace. Let V_i be any matrix whose n_i columns form an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{R}_i$, and write $V = [V_1, \ldots, V_b]$. Then $V^{-1}AV = B$ is block diagonal where diagonal block B_{ii} has eigenvalues S_i. Let V_i' be an arbitrary matrix whose n_i columns form a basis of $\mathcal{R}_i$, and write $V' = [V_1', \ldots, V_b']$. $V'^{-1}AV' = B'$ is also block diagonal where diagonal block B_{ii}' has eigenvalues S_i. Then*

$$\max_i \|P_i\|_2 \leq \kappa(V')$$

A more computational definition of $\theta_{\max}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$ is the following. Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is spanned by the columns of the n by m orthonormal matrix X, and similarly $\mathcal{Y}$ is spanned by the columns of the n by m orthonormal matrix Y. Then

$$\theta_{\max}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}) = \arccos \sigma_{\min}(Y^T X) \tag{5.9}$$

Our bounds of the next two sections will bound $\theta_{\max}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}')$ where $\mathcal{X}$ is an unperturbed invariant subspace, and $\mathcal{X}'$ is a perturbed invariant subspace.

We may also define the minimum angle between $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ as

$$\theta_{\min}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}) = \min_{\substack{x\in\mathcal{X}\\ x\neq 0}} \min_{\substack{y\in\mathcal{Y}\\ y\neq 0}} \theta(x,y) \quad (= \min_{\substack{y\in\mathcal{Y}\\ y\neq 0}} \min_{\substack{x\in\mathcal{X}\\ x\neq 0}} \theta(x,y))$$

This may be reexpressed computationally as

$$\theta_{\min}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}) = \arccos \sigma_{\max}(Y^T X)$$

The norms of the spectral projectors $\|P\|_2$ introduced in section 2 have a simple interpretation in terms of angles between subspaces. Let P be the spectral projector for the eigenvalue cluster with right invariant subspace $\mathcal{R}$ and left invariant subspace $\mathcal{L}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_c$ be the complementary right invariant subspace (the subspace for the other eigenvalues) and $\mathcal{L}_c$ be the complementary left invariant subspace. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_2 &= \csc\theta_{\min}(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{R}_c) = \csc\theta_{\min}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}_c) \\ \|P\|_2 &= \sec\theta_{\max}(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{L}) = \sec\theta_{\max}(\mathcal{R}_c,\mathcal{L}_c) \end{aligned}$$

In other words, as $\|P\|_2$ grows and the cluster becomes more ill-conditioned, the minimum angle between complementary right (or complementary left) subspaces shrinks. Also, the maximum angle between corresponding left and rigth invariant subspaces grows.

5.2 Conditioning of Right Eigenvectors and Right Invariant Subspaces

We assume A is in Schur canonical form (2.1), with the eigenvalues of A_{11} being the cluster whose right invariant subspace $\mathcal{R}$ we are interested in. Let E be a perturbation of A, and $\epsilon_F = \|E\|_F$. Let $\mathcal{R}'$ be the perturbed right invariant subspace of $A+E$.

Bound 6: [8, Lemma 7.8]

$$\theta_{\max}(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{R}') \leq \frac{2\epsilon_F}{\mathrm{sep}(A_{11},A_{22})} + O(\epsilon_F^2)$$

The $O(\epsilon_F^2)$ indicates that this is an asymptotic bound, applicable only for sufficiently small ϵ_F. It is nearly attainable for sufficiently small ϵ_F.

Bound 7: [8, Lemma 7.8] *Suppose $\|E\|_F$ satisfies Bound 1. Then*

$$\theta_{\max}(\mathcal{R},\mathcal{R}') \leq \arctan\left(\frac{2\epsilon_F}{\mathrm{sep}(A_{11},A_{22}) - 4\|P\|_2\epsilon_F}\right)$$

We may substitute $\|P\|'$ of equation (2.4) for $\|P\|_2$ to get a slightly weaker bound.

The $O(\epsilon_2^2)$ indicates this is an asymptotic bound, applicable only for sufficiently small ϵ_2. This bound is nearly attainable, in the sense that for ϵ_2 sufficiently small, there exists an E such that $|\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}'| \leq \|P\|_2 \epsilon_2 / m + O(\epsilon_2^2)$. When $m = 1$, it is of course identical to the bound in the previous subsection.

Our global bound on $|\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}'|$ will only be valid for $\|E\|_F$ satisfying Bound 1 of section 2:

Bound 5: [22, page 748] *Suppose $\|E\|_F$ satisfies Bound 1. Then*

$$|\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}'| \leq 2\epsilon_2 \|P\|_2$$

Thus, the global bound is just twice as large as the asymptotic bound. Again we may substitute $\|P\|'$ of equation (2.4) for $\|P\|_2$ to get a slightly weaker bound.

`STRSNA` computes $1/\|P\|_2$ for a user-specified set of individual eigenvalues. `STRSEN` can compute $1/\|P\|'$ for a single user-specified cluster of $m \geq 1$ eigenvalues.

4.3 Stability

When the eigenvalues of a full matrix A have been found from its computed Schur form $\bar{A}$, the computed $\bar{s}$ will be those appropriate to $\bar{A}$. These $\bar{s}$ can differ substantially from the true s. Indeed, when A is defective, $\bar{A}$ will usually not be, and hence zero s will become nonzero $\bar{s}$. The reverse situation could occur though this is much less probable. Since some of the $\bar{s}$ may not even be the correct order of magnitude, it might be felt that our heavy reliance on them is unjustified. Since our algorithms for computing the Schur form and $s(\lambda)$ are backward stable, $s(\lambda)$ is the correct value for a matrix $A + E$ very close to the original matrix A. This justifies their use. The same comments apply to the computation and use of sep described in the next section.

5 Conditioning of Right Eigenvectors and Right Invariant Subspaces

In this section, we review how to measure the sensitivity of eigenvectors and invariant subspaces. We begin by defining of the angle between two subspaces, and then use it to describe the conditioning of eigenvectors and invariant subspaces.

5.1 Angles Between Subspaces

Let $\theta(x, y)$ denote the acute angle between two nonzero n-vectors x and y. We may write $\cos\theta(x, y) = |x^T y|/(\|x\|_2 \|y\|_2)$. We wish to generalize this to the (maximum) angle between two $m > 1$ dimensional subspaces, which we denote $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$. One way to define this angle is as

$$\theta_{\max}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \max_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X} \\ x \neq 0}} \min_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{Y} \\ y \neq 0}} \theta(x, y) \quad (= \max_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{Y} \\ y \neq 0}} \min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X} \\ x \neq 0}} \theta(x, y)) \tag{5.8}$$

4.1 Conditioning of Simple Eigenvalues

Let λ be a simple eigenvalue of the n by n matrix A, with unit right eigenvector x and unit left eigenvector y. In other words $Ax = \lambda x$, $y^T A = \lambda y^T$, and $\|x\|_2 = \|y\|_2 = 1$. Let P be the spectral projector for λ; one may write $P = (x \cdot y^T)/(y^T \cdot x)$. Note that $\|P\|_2 = 1/|y^T x|$, the secant of the angle between x and y.

Let E be a perturbation of A, and $\epsilon_2 = \|E\|_2$. Let λ' be the perturbed eigenvalue of $A + E$.

Bound 2: [27, p. 68]

$$|\lambda' - \lambda| \leq \epsilon_2 \|P\|_2 + O(\epsilon_2^2)$$

The $O(\epsilon_2^2)$ term indicates this is an asymptotic bound, applicable only for sufficiently small ϵ_2. This bound is attainable, in the sense that for ϵ_2 sufficiently small, there exists an E such that $|\lambda' - \lambda| = \epsilon_2 \|P\|_2 + O(\epsilon_2^2)$.

There is also a global version of this bound:

Bound 3: [3] *Suppose A has all simple eigenvalues λ_i. Let P_i be the corresponding spectral projectors. Then any eigenvalue λ' of $A + E$ must lie in one of the disks*

$$\{\lambda : \ |\lambda - \lambda_i| \leq n\epsilon_2 \|P_i\|_2\}$$

There is no limit on the size of ϵ_2 in Bound 3. Note that the sizes of the disks are just n times larger than in Bound 1, where ϵ_2 must be small. Bound 3 is an stronger version of what is often called the Bauer-Fike Theorem, although Bauer and Fike proved this stronger version as well. In the weaker Bauer-Fike Theorem, all of the disks have the same radius, approximately equal to the largest radius $\max_i n\epsilon_2 \|P_i\|_2$ in Bound 3. Note that Bound 3 is only useful when all the radii $n\epsilon_2 \|P_i\|_2$ are of modest size, since if one or more disks is so large that it intersects all the other disks, there is little information about locations of individual eigenvalues; we only know they lie in the union of all the disks.

The subroutine `STRSNA` can compute $1/\|P\|_2$ for a user-specified subset of the eigenvalues of A.

4.2 Conditioning of Clustered Eigenvalues

It is easiest to think of A in Schur form (2.1), with the eigenvalues of A_{11} being the cluster of interest. We are interested in bounding the perturbation in the average of the eigenvalues of the cluster, which may be written $\mathrm{tr}A_{11}/m$, the trace of A_{11} divided by m. Let E be a perturbation of A, and $\epsilon_2 = \|E\|_2$. Let $\bar{\lambda} = \mathrm{tr}A_{11}/m$ be the mean of the unperturbed eigenvalues, and $\bar{\lambda}'$ be the mean of the perturbed eigenvalues.

Bound 4: [15, sec. II.2.2]

$$|\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}'| \leq \epsilon_2 \|P\|_2 + O(\epsilon_2^2)$$

can be as large as $n^2/4$. Thus we would require as much as $O(n^4)$ extra workspace and $O(n^6)$ operations, much more than the estimation method of the last paragraph.

$\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22})$ measures the "separation" of the spectrum of A_{11} and A_{22} in the following sense. It is zero if and only if A_{11} and A_{22} have a common eigenvalue, and small if there is a small perturbation of either one that makes them have a common eigenvalue. If A_{11} and A_{22} are both normal matrices, then $\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22})$ is just the minimum distance between an eigenvalue of A_{11} and an eigenvalue of A_{22}.

`STRSNA` computes $1/\|P\|_2$ (which is always ≤ 1, avoiding the possibility of overflow) and sep for user-selected individual eigenvalues (i.e. A_{11} is 1 by 1). `STRSEN` computes $1/\|P\|'$ and sep for a single user-specified cluster with $m \geq 1$ eigenvalues.

3 An Upper Bound on $\|E\|_F$ for Global Error Bounds

We discuss the upper bound on $\|E\|_F$ which limits the applicability of our global bounds in the next two sections. As stated in the introduction, this upper bound occurs because if $\|E\|_F$ is large enough that the eigenvalue being considered (or one of the eigenvalues in the cluster being considered) moves and coalesces with another eigenvalue (outside the cluster), then we can no longer uniquely identify the cluster for which we want bounds. Thus, in this section we present lower bounds on the smallest $\|E\|_F$ such that $A + E$ has a multiple eigenvalue (or a multiple eigenvalue involving at least one eigenvalue within the original cluster and one outside).

Bound 1: [22, Theorem 4.14] *Let A, P and $\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22})$ be defined as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.5). Then as long as*

$$\|E\|_F < \frac{\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22})}{4 \cdot \|P\|_2} \tag{3.7}$$

the eigenvalues in the cluster belonging to A_{11} will remain disjoint from the eigenvalues outside the cluster. In particular, the global error bounds of sections 4 and 5 will be guaranteed valid only for E satisfying (3.7). We may replace $\|P\|_2$ by $\|P\|'$ as defined in (2.4) to get a slightly smaller upper bound.

Bound 1 can be quite conservative, greatly underestimating the smallest perturbation needed to make eigenvalues coalesce. However, it is nearly exact in some cases (e.g. for 2 by 2 matrices and normal matrices), and a good estimate in many others; see [8, 9] for discussion.

$1/\|P\|'$ (or $1/\|P\|_2$ if $m = 1$) and $\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22})$ are computed by `STRSNA` and `STRSEN` as described in section 2.

4 Conditioning of Eigenvalues

In this section, we review how to measure the sensitivity of both simple eigenvalues and clusters of eigenvalues.

Suppose our cluster contains $m \geq 1$ eigenvalues, counting multiplicities. Assume the n by n matrix A is in Schur canonical form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.1}$$

where the eigenvalues of the m by m matrix A_{11} are exactly those in which we are interested. In practice, if the eigenvalues on the diagonal of A are in the wrong order, they are sorted to put the desired ones in the upper left corner as shown by using the subroutine `STREXC` in Appendix B.

We define the *spectral projector*, or simply projector P belonging to the eigenvalues of A_{11} as

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} I_m & R \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

where R satisfies the system of linear equations

$$A_{11}R - RA_{22} = A_{12} \tag{2.3}$$

Equation (2.3) is called a Sylvester equation. Given the Schur canonical form (2.1), we solve the Sylvester (2.3) for R using subroutine `STRSYL` in Appendix A.

P has several important properties. First, the space spanned by its columns is the same as the right invariant subspace of A belonging to A_{11}. Second, the space spanned by its rows is the same as the left invariant subspace of A belonging to A_{11}. Thus, P describes the spaces spanned by both the left and right eigenvectors belonging to A_{11}. Third, its norm $\|P\|_2 = (1 + \|R\|_2^2)^{1/2}$ plays an important role in our error bounds, as we will see.

In practice, we do not use $\|P\|_2$ for $m > 1$ since this is expensive to compute, but rather the cheaper overestimate

$$\|P\|' \equiv (1 + \|R\|_F^2)^{1/2} \tag{2.4}$$

The *separation* $\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22})$ of the matrices A_{11} and A_{22} is defined as the smallest singular value of the linear map in (2.3) which takes X to $A_{11}X - XA_{22}$, i.e.

$$\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22}) = \min_{X \neq 0} \frac{\|A_{11}X - XA_{22}\|_F}{\|X\|_F} \tag{2.5}$$

This formulation lets us estimate sep using the condition estimator `SLACON` [14, 18, 19], which estimates the norm of a linear operator $\|T\|_1$ given the ability to compute Tx and T^Ty quickly for arbitrary x and y. In our case, multiplying an arbitrary vector by T means solving the Sylvester equation (2.3) with an arbitrary right hand side, and multiplying by T^T means solving the same equation with A_{11} replaced by A_{11}^T and A_{22} replaced by A_{22}^T. Solving either equation costs at most $O(n^3)$ operations, or as few as $O(n^2)$ if $m \ll n$.

Another formulation which in principle permits an exact evaluation of $\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22})$ is

$$\mathrm{sep}(A_{11}, A_{22}) = \sigma_{\min}(I_{n-m} \otimes A_{11} - A_{22}^T \otimes I_m) \tag{2.6}$$

where $\sigma_{\min}$ is the smallest singular value. This method is generally impractical, however, because the matrix whose smallest singular value we need is $m(n-m)$ dimensional, which

of user-specified eigenvalues and/or eigenvectors of the input matrix. `STRSEN` computes the reciprocal condition numbers of the mean and/or invariant subspace of a single user-specified cluster of eigenvalues. Two examples are provided to show how to use these codes. Outlines of the algorithms are also given.

The first two appendices describe details of the solution of the Sylvester matrix equation and swapping diagonal blocks of a quasitriangular matrix. The third appendix lists the names and basic functions of *LAPACK* routines needed for the nonsymmetric eigenvalue problem.

We end with some notation we will need later. Capital letters are used to denote matrices, the corresponding lowercase letter with the subscript ij referring to the (i,j) component (e.g., a_{ij} is the (i,j) component of A). A submatrix of a matrix A is written as A_{ij}. Vectors are also denoted by lowercase letters and will be clearly indicated in the text. Lowercase Greek letters will denote scalars.

$\|x\|_1, \|x\|_2$ and $\|x\|_\infty$ denote the one-norm, the Euclidean norm, and the infinity-norm, respectively, of the n-vector x:

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|, \quad \|x\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i|.$$

$\|T\|_1, \|T\|_2, \|T\|_\infty, \|T\|_{\mathrm{F}}$ denote the matrix norms:

$$\|T\|_1 = \max_j \sum_i |t_{ij}|, \quad \|T\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|_2}{\|x\|_2},$$

$$\|T\|_\infty = \max_i \sum_j |t_{ij}|, \quad \|T\|_{\mathrm{F}} = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |t_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that $\| \ \|_2$ and $\| \ \|_{\mathrm{F}}$ are invariant with respect to unitary transformation.

We will throughout let ϵ_2 denote $\|E\|_2$, and ϵ_F denote $\|E\|_F$, the norms of our perturbation matrix.

The condition number of T is $\kappa(T) = \|T\|_2 \|T^{-1}\|_2$. A subspace spanned by the columns of matrix A is denoted as $R(A)$ (the range of matrix A). $\lambda(A)$ denotes the set of all eigenvalues of matrix A. $A \otimes B$ denotes the Kronecker product of two matrices: $A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B)$.

The Schur matrix (or Schur form) of a real matrix is an orthogonally similar upper quasi-triangular matrix whose 2 by 2 diagonal blocks (if any exist) are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Such a block has complex conjugate eigenvalues $\alpha \pm \mu$ where $\mu^2 = -\beta\gamma$. The Schur form of a complex matrix is a unitarily similar upper triangular matrix.

2 Spectral Projectors and the Separation of Two Matrices

To explain the bounds in later sections, we need to introduce two quantities, the *spectral projector* P [22, 15], and the *separation of two matrices* A and B, $\mathrm{sep}(A,B)$ [22].

associated with an eigenvalue near .5; the eigenvectors have become ill-posed. Indeed, with additional arbitrarily small perturbations the two eigenvectors for the eigenvalues at .5 can be made to rotate arbitrarily within a two dimensional subspace, or one of them can even disappear. Thus, only if we bound $\|E\|$ to be some value less than 2^{-10} can we hope to have error bounds. For this example, the upper bound computed by our software will be approximately $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (Bound 1). For $\|E\| < 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$, our upper bound on the change in the mean of the eigenvalue cluster will be $2\|E\|$ (Bound 5), and our bound on how much the right invariant subspace can rotate will be $\arctan(2731\|E\|/(1-5462\|E\|))$ radians (Bound 7), both close to the asymptotic bounds.

In this example, it is easy to identify the clusters by inspection of A_0. This is not always the case in practice, when the user is confronted with a matrix whose eigenvalues form a cloud rather than well separated clusters. Unfortunately, there is as yet no reliable, automated procedure for clustering eigenvalues; see [8, 9, 28] for discussion. Our software merely provides the tools for evaluating a particular clustering. A good cluster will have a much less sensitive mean and invariant subspace than any subcluster, and must be made part of a much larger (or trivial) cluster before it becomes significantly less sensitive. The 10 zero eigenvalues of A_0 satisfy this criterion.

There is a very large literature on perturbation theory for the eigenproblem. See [3, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28] for various theoretical bounds. Chan, Feldman and Parlett[6] provided a Fortran routine to compute the condition number of simple eigenvalue in conjunction with EISPACK routines `ORTHES` and `HQR`, but it does not provide any information about conditioning for eigenvectors and subspaces. Ruhe[20] suggested using the Golub-Reinsch SVD algorithm to calculate the condition number for eigenvectors, but this requires $O(n^3)$ flops per eigenvalue-eigenvector pair, which is too expensive. Van Loan[26] developed an efficient algorithm for estimating condition numbers of all eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of a Hessenberg matrix. It only costs $O(n^2)$ flops per eigenpair, assuming that the eigenvalues are known.

We have developed new algorithms, which assume the matrix has been reduced to Schur canonical form (real or complex). Reduction to Schur canonical form is done by *LAPACK* subroutines `SGEHRD` and `SHSEQR` in the real case, and `CGEHRD` and `CHSEQR` in the complex case. Since this reduction is done via orthogonal (or unitary) similarities, the condition numbers are identical to those of the original matrix. As we will see, starting with the matrix in Schur form simplifies many of the algorithms and lets us use existing condition estimation software for (quasi)triangular matrices [14, 18, 19].

The rest of this report is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses spectral projectors and the separation of matrices, quantities on which later bounds are based. Section 3 discusses the upper bound on $\|E\|_F$ for our global error bounds. Section 4 discusses asymptotic and global bounds for eigenvalues and means of clusters of eigenvalues. In section 5, we first define the angle between two subspaces, the quantity bounded by our error bounds. Second, we present asymptotic and global perturbation bounds for both right eigenvectors and right invariant subspaces. Third, we discuss (block)diagonalizing a matrix by a similarity. The results in sections 2 through 5 are stated without proof; references to proofs in the literature are given. A tabular summary of all bounds is given in section 6. Sections 7 and 8 describe the usage of the *LAPACK* routines `STRSNA` and `STRSEN` for estimating the desired condition numbers (actually their reciprocals). `STRSNA` computes the reciprocal condition numbers

backward stable, i.e. they compute the exact eigendecomposition of a matrix $A + E$ where A is the input matrix, and $\|E\| = O(macheps)\|A\|$. We measure changes in eigenvectors and invariant subspaces by their change in angle; we discuss the angle between subspaces in more detail in section 5. Our condition numbers yield both *asymptotic bounds*, which are accurate only when the norm $\|E\|$ is small, and *global bounds*, which work for all $\|E\|$ up to a certain upper bound, whose size depends on the problem and may be large or small. We show how to obtain these upper bounds on $\|E\|$ as well.

We illustrate the reason for providing such a variety of bounds with an example. Let A_η be 11 by 11 of the following form:

$$A_\eta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & & 1 & \vdots \\ \eta & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & .5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here, blank entries are also zero. Thus, A_η is a block diagonal matrix with a 10 by 10 block at the upper left and a 1 by 1 block at the lower right. When $\eta = 0$, the upper left block is a 10 by 10 Jordan block with a single multiple eigenvalue at 0 and a single right eigenvector $v = [1, 0, \ldots, 0]^T$. Such a matrix is called *defective*. For small nonzero η the eigenvalues become distinct numbers all with absolute value $\eta^{.1}$, and eigenvectors which have rotated away from v by about $\eta^{.1}$ radians. When $\eta = 10^{-10}$, $\eta^{.1} = .1$, a much larger change. In this case we call the eigenvalue at 0 and its associated eigenvector ill-posed, because their sensitivity is not proportional to the norm of the perturbation η, but a root of η.

The practical solution to this problem is to consider this matrix as having a cluster of 10 eigenvalues near zero with a single invariant subspace which is spanned by all their eigenvectors, as well as a single eigenvalue near .5 with its eigenvector. The mean of this cluster of 10 eigenvalues will be much less sensitive to small perturbations than the individual eigenvalues (in fact it will be independent of η in this example). For small enough $\|E\|$, our asymptotic error bounds will show that the mean of the cluster of 10 eigenvalues near 0 of $A_0 + E$ is bounded by $\|E\|$ (see Bound 4 below); i.e. the mean is very well-conditioned. Similarly, the eigenvalue near .5 can also only change by $\|E\|$ for small enough $\|E\|$ (Bound 2). The invariant subspaces will also be much less sensitive than the individual eigenvectors. In this example, the right invariant subspace belonging to the cluster of 10 eigenvalues near 0 is spanned by the first 10 columns of the 11 by 11 identity matrix independent of η; more generally our bounds will say that for small $\|E\|$ the right invariant subspace can rotate by at most $2731\|E\|$ radians (Bound 6). The eigenvector belonging to the eigenvalue .5 is equally insensitive in this example.

This illustrates our asymptotic error bounds, valid for sufficiently small $\|E\|$. In contrast, our global bounds give bounds valid for all $\|E\|$ up to an upper bound which we also estimate. The matrix A_η illustrates the source of these upper bounds on $\|E\|$. Suppose we make $\eta = 2^{-10} \approx .001$; then one of the eigenvalues originally at 0 now equals .5, the same as the eigenvalue in the lower right corner. Thus, we can no longer say that this matrix has a cluster of eigenvalues near 0 and one near .5, and so we can no longer talk about the sensitivity of the mean of the cluster. We can also no longer identify a unique eigenvector

1 Introduction

We review the theory of condition numbers for the nonsymmetric eigenproblem, and describe algorithms for estimating them. We provide a manual for the *LAPACK* subroutines `STRSNA` and `STRSEN`, which compute these condition numbers for matrices in Schur canonical form. We assume the reader is familiar with the basic theory of the nonsymmetric eigenproblem: eigenvalues, right and left eigenvectors, multiple eigenvalues and right and left invariant subspaces.

The condition number of a problem measures the sensitivity of the solution to small changes in the input. We call the problem ill-conditioned if its condition number is large, and ill-posed if its condition number is infinite. We may use condition numbers to bound errors in computed solutions of numerical problems.

We illustrate this with a simple example. It is well known that the condition number for solving a system of linear equations is $k(A) \equiv \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is any matrix operator norm (we will be more specific about norms later). Suppose that linear system $Ax = b$ is solved via Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting, or some other stable scheme. Let $\bar{x}$ be the computed solution. Then one may bound the error by:

$$\frac{\|\bar{x} - x\|}{\|\bar{x}\|} = O(macheps) \cdot k(A)$$

where *macheps* is the machine precision. The size of the constant implicit in the $O(\cdot)$ notation depends on the size of the matrix, pivot growth, etc.

Condition numbers may be expensive to compute exactly. For example, computing $k(A)$ for even the simplest matrix norms is three times as expensive as solving $Ax = b$ in the first place. Therefore, one usually uses an inexpensive estimate in place of the exact $k(A)$. For example, a method for estimating $k(A)$ is included in LINPACK, which costs just $O(n^2)$ extra beyond the $O(n^3)$ cost of solving $Ax = b$. The price one pays for using an estimate is occasional (but hopefully rare) misestimates of $k(A)$. Years of experience with the LINPACK estimator attest to its reliability, although examples do exist where it can underestimate $k(A)$ badly.

The codes we discuss for the nonsymmetric eigenproblem will also use such condition estimators. Here, the savings will be even greater than for linear equation solving: an $O(n^3)$ estimator using $O(n)$ workspace in place of an $O(n^6)$ exact solution using $O(n^4)$ workspace in some cases.

Our condition estimators will compute two quantities, the reciprocal of a condition number for an eigenvalue (or cluster of eigenvalues), and the reciprocal of a condition number for an eigenvector (or invariant subspace). We compute reciprocals of condition numbers to avoid overflow; an infinite or overflowed condition number is indicated by a zero reciprocal. By combining these two values in simple algebraic formulas, a great deal of detailed information about the eigenproblem can be obtained. This report will describe both these basic condition numbers and these formulas.

Our condition numbers will measure the changes in the eigenvalues, right eigenvectors, means of clusters of eigenvalues, and right invariant subspaces of a matrix A when a perturbation E is added to it; our bounds will be functions (usually multiples) of $\|E\|$. This may be used to estimate the error in solutions computed by *LAPACK* routines because they are

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 Spectral Projectors and the Separation of Two Matrices **6**

3 An Upper Bound on $\|E\|_F$ for Global Error Bounds **8**

4 Conditioning of Eigenvalues **8**
4.1 Conditioning of Simple Eigenvalues 9
4.2 Conditioning of Clustered Eigenvalues 9
4.3 Stability 10

5 Conditioning of Right Eigenvectors and Right Invariant Subspaces **10**
5.1 Angles Between Subspaces 10
5.2 Conditioning of Right Eigenvectors and Right Invariant Subspaces 11
5.3 (Block)diagonalizing a Matrix with a Similarity 12

6 Summary: Perturbation Table **13**

7 `STRSNA` – Estimating the Condition of Individual Eigenpairs **14**
7.1 Usage 14
7.2 Example 17
7.3 Outline of the Algorithm 19

8 `STRSEN` Estimating the Condition of a Cluster of Eigenvalues **20**
8.1 Usage 21
8.2 Example 23
8.3 Outline of the Algorithm 24

A Solution of the Sylvester Equation **26**

B Swapping Diagonal Blocks **27**

C List of *LAPACK* Routines for the Nonsymmetric Eigenproblem **30**

On the Conditioning of the Nonsymmetric Eigenproblem: Theory and Software

Z. Bai, J. Demmel and A. McKenney*
Courant Institute
251 Mercer Str.
New York, NY 10012

December 9, 1991

Abstract

This report reviews the theory and practical estimation of condition numbers for the nonsymmetric eigenvalue problem. The report provides a manual for using *LAPACK* subroutines `STRSNA` and `STRSEN` to estimate condition numbers for individual eigenvalues and eigenvectors, multiple (or clustered) eigenvalues, and invariant subspaces.

*The authors acknowledge the financial support of NSF, grant ASC-8715728. The first author acknowledges DARPA, grant F49620-87-C0065. The second author is also a Presidential Young Investigator.